NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Baricitinib for treating moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis ID979

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
 Eli Lilly and Company (baricitinib) 	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Action on Pain	British National Formulary
Arthritis Action	Care Quality Commission
Arthritis & Musculoskeletal Alliance	Department of Health, Social Services
Arthritis Care	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
BackCare	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Disability Rights UK	 Medicines and Healthcare products
 Leonard Cheshire Disability 	Regulatory Agency
Muslim Council of Britain	 National Association of Primary Care
 National Rheumatoid Arthritis Society 	 National Pharmacy Association
 Pain Concern 	 NHS Alliance
Pain Relief Foundation	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
 Pain UK 	 NHS Confederation
	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Possible comparator companies
Professional groups	AbbVie (adalimumab)
British Geriatrics Society	 Accord Healthcare (methotrexate)
 British Institute of Musculoskeletal 	 Amdipharm Mercury Company
Medicine	(methotrexate)
	 Biogen Idec (etanercept)
 British Orthopaedic Association British Pain Society 	 Bristol-Myers Squibb (abatacept)
	 Hospira UK (methotrexate, infliximab)
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	 Medac (methotrexate, leflunomide)
 British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine 	
	 Merck Sharp and Dohme (infliximab, golimumab)
Physiotherapy Pain Association	J J
Primary Care Rheumatology Society	 Napp Pharmaceuticals (infliximab) Orion Pharma (mothetroyate)
Royal College of General Practitioners	Orion Pharma (methotrexate)
Royal College of Nursing	 Pfizer (methotrexate, sulfasalazine, otaporcont)
Royal College of Pathologists	etanercept)
Royal College of Physicians	Roche (tocilizumab, rituximab)
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	Rosemont pharmaceuticals (methotroyota, cultacelezing)
Royal Society of Medicine	(methotrexate, sulfasalazine)
 UK Clinical Pharmacy Association 	Sandoz (leflunomide, methotrexate)
lational Institute for Health and Care Eventlance	Sanofi (leflunomide)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal baricitinib for treating moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis ID 979

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 <u>Others</u> Department of Health NHS Canterbury and Coastal CCG NHS England NHS High Weald Lewes Haven CCG Welsh Government 	 UCB Pharma (certolizumab pegol) Zentiva (leflunomide) <u>Relevant research groups</u> Arthritis Research UK Chronic Pain Policy Coalition Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland;; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.