

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Ex vivo expanded autologous human corneal epithelial cells for treating moderate to severe limbal stem cell deficiency caused by burns to the eyes [ID899]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Chiesi Ltd (Ex vivo expanded autologous human corneal epithelial cells containing stem cells) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action for Blind People • Black Health Agency • Blind Veterans UK • Dan's Fund for Burns • Eyecare Trust • Fight for Sight • Katie Piper Foundation • Muslim Council of Britain • NFBUK – The Voice of Blind People • OBAC – Supporting the independence of disabled people • Royal National Institute of Blind People (RNIB) • SeeAbility – Seeing beyond disability • Sense • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Thomas Pocklington Trust <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Anaesthetists of Great Britain and Ireland • Association of Optometrists • Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • British Burns Association • British Geriatrics Society • British and Irish Orthoptic Society 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Wales Council for the Blind <p><u>Possible comparator companies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Council for Prevention of Blindness • Cochrane Eyes and Vision Group • Eye Hope • Institute of Ophthalmology, University College London • MRC Centre for Regenerative Medicine • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Eye Research Centre • National Institute for Health Research • UK Stem Cell Foundation

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence
 Matrix for the technology appraisal ex vivo expanded autologous human corneal epithelial cells for treating moderate to severe limbal stem cell deficiency due to ocular burns [ID899]

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Ophthalmic Anaesthesia Society • College of Optometrists • Royal College of Anaesthetists • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Ophthalmologists • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • NHS Bracknell and Ascot CCG • NHS England • NHS Slough CCG • Welsh Government 	<p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*).

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.