NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal no. 172; Cetuximab for the treatment of recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cell cancer of the head and neck

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturer	General
Merck Serono (cetuximab)	Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	 Board of Continuitity Realth Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Commissioning Support Appraisals Service Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association for Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Public Health Wales NHS Trust Scottish Medicines Consortium Comparator manufacturers Accord Healthcare (carboplatin, cisplatin, fluorouracil) Bristol-Myers Squibb (carboplatin, cisplatin, fluorouracil) Hospira UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, fluorouracil) Pfizer (cisplatin Sandoz (carboplatin, cisplatin, fluorouracil) Sun Pharmaceuticals (carboplatin) Teva UK (carboplatin, cisplatin)

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

NICE Technology Appraisal no. 172; Cetuximab for the treatment of recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cell cancer of the head and neck

Issue date: June 2012 Page 1 of 4

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) • Wockhardt UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, British Association of Head and Neck **Oncology Nurses** fluorouracil) British Association of Oral and Relevant research groups Maxillofacial Surgeons Head and Neck Cancer Research Trust British Association of Institute of Cancer Research Otorhinolaryngologists British Association of Services for the MRC Clinical Trials Unit Elderly National Cancer Research Institute British Association of Surgical National Cancer Research Network Oncology National Institute for Health Research British Dental Health Foundation Research Institute for the Care of the British Geriatrics Society Elderly British Institute of Radiology British Oculoplastic Surgery Society Assessment Group (BOPSS) British Psychosocial Oncology Assessment Group tbc Society (BPOS) National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment British Skull Base Society Programme Cancer Network Pharmacists Forum • Cancer Research UK Associated Guideline Groups Royal College of Anaesthetists National Collaborating Centre for Royal College of General Cancer **Practitioners** Royal College of Nursing Associated Public Health Groups Royal College of Pathologists None Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society Others Bedfordshire and Luton PCT Cluster Department of Health Welsh Government West Mercia PCT Cluster

NICE Technology Appraisal no. 172; Cetuximab for the treatment of recurrent and/or metastatic squamous cell cancer of the head and neck

Issue date: June 2012 Page 2 of 4

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: June 2012 Page 3 of 4

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary. All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.