

## **Multiple Technology Appraisal**

Immunosuppressive therapy for kidney transplantation in children and adolescents (review of technology appraisal guidance 99)

**Committee papers** 



## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

## **MULTIPLE TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL**

Immunosuppressive therapy for kidney transplantation in children and adolescents (review of technology appraisal guidance 99) [ID346]

## Contents:

**Appeal decision letter** as issued to consultees and commentators on 27 May 2016: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/GID-TAG255/documents/appeal-decision

1. Systematic review evidence stratified according to first or subsequent transplant [ID346] from Peninsula Technology Assessment Group (PenTAG)

Study id	Maintenance therapy	Inclusion criteria	No previous transplant n/N (%)	One previous transplant n/N (%)	Two previous transplant n/N (%)
		RCT			
Trompeter et al. 2002	TAC+AZA+CCS vs. CSA+AZA+CCS	Primary kidney and retransplantation permitted	9/103 (91%) vs 14/93 (87%)	8 (7%) vs 12(11%)	1 (1%) vs 2 (2%)
		Non-RCT			
Garcia et al. 2002	BAS+TAC+AZA+CCS vs. BAS+CSA+MMF+CCS	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Antoniadis et al. 1998	CSA+ MMF+CCS vs. CSA+AZA+CCSb	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Benfield et al. 1999	(OKT3 or CSA)+MMF + CCS vs. (OKT3 or CSA)+ AZA+CCS	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported	Not reported
Staskewitz et al 2001	CSA+MMF+CCS vs. CSA+AZA+CCS	Receiving first or second transplant from cadaveric or living related donor	4/65 (3%) vs. 1/54 (0.5%)	4 (3%) vs. 1 (0.5%)	N/A