NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Obinutuzumab for untreated advanced follicular lymphoma [ID1020]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Company Roche Products (obinutuzumab) Patient/carer groups African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust Anthony Nolan Black Health Agency Bloodwise Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer52 Delete Blood Cancer HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Leukaemia Cancer Society 	 General Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation
 Leukaemia CARE Lymphoma Association Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain Rarer Cancers Foundation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care 	 Scottish Medicines Consortium Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (bendamustine, doxorubicin, etoposide, mitoxantrone) Allergan (bendamustine, etoposide, prednisolone) Aspen (chlorambucil) Bausch & Lomb UK (prednisolone) Baxter Healthcare (cyclophosphamide, mitoxantrone)
 Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Blood Transfusion Society British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology 	 Bayer (prednisolone) Bristol-Myers Squibb (etoposide) Celltrion (rituximab) Concordia International (prednisolone) Dr. Reddy's Laboratories (bendamustine) Hospira UK (mitoxantrone, vincristine) Intrapharm Laboratories (prednisolone) Janssen-Cilag (doxorubicin) Logixx Pharma Solutions

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of obinutuzumab for untreated advanced follicular lymphoma [ID1020] Issue date: March 2017 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Transplantation Society Cancer Research UK NHS Blood and Transplant Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiography UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Coventry and Rugby CCG NHS Enfield CCG Welsh Government 	 (prednisolone) Medac GmbH (bendamustine, doxorubicin, etoposide) Merck Sharpe & Dohme (interferon alfa) Mundipharma (rituximab) Napp Pharmaceuticals (bendamustine) Pfizer (doxorubicin) Roche Products (interferon alfa, rituximab) Sandoz (cyclophosphamide) Seacross Pharmaceuticals (doxorubicin) Teva UK (doxorubicin) Wockhardt UK (prednisolone) Zentiva (bendamustine, prednisolone) Relevant research groups Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters Lymphoma Research Trust MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.