NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Eribulin for treating locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer after one prior chemotherapy regimen [ID1072]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Eisai (eribulin)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	 Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Black Health Agency	British National Formulary
Breast Cancer Care	Care Quality Commission
Breast Cancer Now	 Department of Health, Social Services and
Breast Cancer UK	Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer Black Care	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer Equality	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Haven	Regulatory Agency
HAWC	 National Association of Primary Care
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	National Pharmacy Association
 Independent Cancer Patients Voice 	NHS Alliance
 Macmillan Cancer Support 	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Maggie's Centres	NHS Confederation
Marie Curie Cancer Care	Scottish Medicines Consortium
South Asian Health Foundation	
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Possible comparator companies
Tenovus Cancer Care	Accord Healthcare (capecitabine,
Women's Health Concern	gemcitabine)
	Actavis UK (capecitabine, gemcitabine,
Professional groups	vinorelbine)
Association of Cancer Physicians	Dr. Reddy's Laboratories (capecitabine)
British Geriatrics Society	Eli Lilly and Company (gemcitabine)
British Institute of Radiology	Hospira UK (gemcitabine)
British Psychosocial Oncology Society	Medac GmbH (capecitabine, gemcitabine,
Cancer Research UK	vinorelbine)
Royal College of General Practitioners	Pierre Fabre (vinorelbine)
Royal College of Nursing	Roche Products (capecitabine)
Royal College of Pathologists	Sun Pharmaceuticals UK (capecitabine,
Royal College of Physicians	gemcitabine)
Royal College of Radiologists	Zentiva (capecitabine)
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	
Royal Society of Medicine	Relevant research groups
Society and College of Radiographers	Against Breast Cancer
UK Health Forum	Breast Cancer Hope
United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy	Breast Cancer Research Trust
Association	Cochrane Breast Cancer Group
United Kingdom Oncology Nursing	Institute of Cancer Research MDC Clinical Trials Unit
Society	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
	National Cancer Research Institute

Eribulin for treating locally advanced or metastatic breast cancer after one prior chemotherapy regimen [ID1072] , Issue date: August 2017

	National Cancer Research Network
<u>Others</u>	 National Institute for Health Research
 Department of Health 	Pro-Cancer Research Fund
NHS East Surrey CCG	
NHS England	Associated Public Health Groups
NHS North Hampshire CCG	Public Health England
Welsh Government	Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal: the company or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.