NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Guselkumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis ID1075

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
CompanyJanssen (guselkumab)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
 Patient/carer groups Action Against Allergy Allergy UK British Skin Foundation Changing Faces Muslim Council of Britain Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis Alliance Psoriasis Association 	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products
Psoriasis Help OrganisationSouth Asian Health FoundationSpecialised Healthcare Alliance	Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance
 Professional groups British Association of Dermatologists British Dermatological Nursing Group British Geriatrics Society British Society for Cutaneous Allergy British Society for Rheumatology 	 NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 British Society for Rheumatology Primary Care Dermatology Society Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine UK Clinical Pharmacy Association Others Department of Health 	 Comparator companies AbbVie (adalimumab, methotrexate) Accord Healthcare (methotrexate) Allergan (acitretin) Almirall (dimethyl fumarate) Biogen (dimethyl fumarate, etanercept, infliximab) Celgene (apremilast) Concordia International (methotrexate) Dexcel Pharma (ciclosporin) Eli Lilly (ixekizumab)
NHS England	Genus Pharmaceuticals (acitretin)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of guselkumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis ID1075

Issue date: September 2017 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 NHS Milton Keynes CCG NHS South West Lincolnshire CCG Welsh Government 	 Hameln Pharmaceuticals (methotrexate) Hospira UK (infliximab, methotrexate) Janssen (ustekinumab) Medac (methotrexate) Merck Sharp & Dohme (infliximab) Napp Pharmaceuticals Ltd (infliximab) Nordic Pharma (methotrexate) Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ciclosporin, secukinumab) Orion Pharma UK (methotrexate) Pfizer (etanercept, methotrexate) Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (methotrexate) Sandoz (methotrexate) Santen (ciclosporin) Relevant research groups British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology, University of Nottingham Cochrane Skin Group MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Skin Treatment & Research Trust Associated Public Health groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Final matrix for the technology appraisal of guselkumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis ID1075

Issue date: September 2017 Page 2 of 3

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Final matrix for the technology appraisal of guselkumab for treating moderate to severe plaque psoriasis ID1075

Issue date: September 2017 Page 3 of 3

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.