NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Appraisal

Arsenic trioxide for treating acute promyelocytic leukaemia [ID446]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Company Teva Pharma B.V. (arsenic trioxide) Patient/carer groups African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust Anthony Nolan Black Health Agency Bloodwise Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer52 Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Support Association DKMS HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Leukaemia Cancer Society Leukaemia CARE Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care UK Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia 	 All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Hospital Information Services – Jehovah's Witnesses Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Blood and Transplant NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee Comparator companies Accord Healthcare Limited (cytarabine, dovernibigin opigibility identibility)
 Forum Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Blood Transfusion Society British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology 	 doxorubicin, epirubicin, idarubicin) Cheplapharm Arzneimittel GmbH (tretinoin; all-trans-retinoic acid/ATRA) Hospira UK Ltd (cytarabine, epirubicin) Janssen-Cilag Ltd (doxorubicin) Medac GmbH (doxorubicin, epirubicin) Napp Pharmaceuticals Limited (cytarabine)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for technology appraisal of arsenic trioxide for treating acute promyelocytic leukaemia [ID446] Issue date: October 2017 1 of 3

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Pfizer Limited (cytarabine, doxorubicin, British Psychosocial Oncology Society epirubicin, idarubicin) British Society for Haematology Cancer Research UK Seacross Pharmaceuticals Ltd (doxorubicin) Royal College of General Practitioners Teva Pharma B.V. (doxorubicin) Royal College of Nursing Zentiva (daunorubicin) Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Relevant research groups Royal College of Radiologists Cochrane Haematological Royal Pharmaceutical Society Malignancies Group Royal Society of Medicine Institute of Cancer Research Society and College of Radiographers Leuka **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association** Leukaemia Busters **UK Health Forum** MRC Clinical Trials Unit **UK Oncology Nursing Society** National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network Others National Institute for Health Research Department of Health NHS England Associated Public Health Groups NHS Kingston CCG Public Health England NHS Wandsworth CCG **Public Health Wales** Welsh Government

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.