NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Multiple Technology Appraisal (MTA)

Beta interferon and glatiramer acetate for multiple sclerosis (review of TA32) [ID809]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

0	
Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
Man Continue to a continue to	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Bayer (interferon beta 1b)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Biogen Idec (interferon beta 1a,	Board of Community Health Councils in
peginterferon beta 1a)	Wales
Merck Serono (interferon beta 1a)	British National Formulary
Novartis (interferon beta 1b)	Care Quality Commission
Teva Pharmaceuticals (glatiramer	Department of Health, Social Services
acetate)	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Patient/carer groups	 Medicines and Healthcare Products
Afiya Trust	Regulatory Agency
Black Health Agency	Multiple Sclerosis Society Wales
Brain and Spine Foundation	 National Association of Primary Care
Disability Rights UK	 National Pharmacy Association
 Multiple Sclerosis National Therapy 	NHS Alliance
Centres	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
MS UK	NHS Confederation
Multiple Sclerosis Society	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Multiple Sclerosis Trust	Wales Neurological Alliance
Muslim Council of Britain	•
Neurological Alliance	Comparator manufacturers*
Neurosupport	* included on the matrix because NICE has
South Asian Health Foundation	recommended their products in related
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	technology appraisals. It is not intended
Sue Ryder	that these products will be comparators in
	the current appraisal.
Professional groups	Biogen Idec (dimethyl fumarate,
 Association of British Neurologists 	natalizumab)
British Geriatrics Society	Genzyme (alemtuzumab, teriflunomide)
British Neuropathological Society	Novartis (fingolimod)
British Society of Rehabilitation	
Medicine	Relevant research groups
Chartered Society of Physiotherapy	Brain Research Trust
Institute of Neurology	British Neurological Research Trust
Primary Care Neurology Society	Cochrane Multiple Sclerosis and Rare
Royal College of General Practitioners	Diseases of the Central Nervous
Royal College of Nursing	System
, , ,	MRC Clinical Trials Unit

Beta interferon and glatiramer acetate for treating multiple sclerosis (review of TA32) Issue date: January 2016 Page 1 of 2

- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Therapists in MS
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
- United Kingdom Multiple Sclerosis Specialist Nurse Association

Others

- Department of Health
- NHS England
- NHS Ipswich and East Suffolk CCG
- NHS Nottingham City CCG
- Welsh Government

- National Institute for Health Research
- Research Institute for the Care of Older People

Associated Guideline Groups

National Clinical Guidelines Centre

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Beta interferon and glatiramer acetate for treating multiple sclerosis (review of TA32) Issue date: January 2016 Page 2 of 2

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Evidence Review group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.