

**NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE  
EXCELLENCE**

**HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME**

**Equality impact assessment – Guidance development**

**STA Nivolumab for treating locally advanced unresectable  
or metastatic urothelial cancer after platinum-containing  
chemotherapy**

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

**Consultation**

- |   |
|---|
| 1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how? |
|---|

No issues were identified during scoping.
---

- |  |
|--|
| 2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|--|

No equalities issues were raised.
-----------------------------------

- |  |
|--|
| 3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? |
|--|

No issues were raised by the committee.
---

- |  |
|--|
| 4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other |
|--|

groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
No

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
Not applicable

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?
Section 3.23 of the ACD states, "No equality issues were identified".

**Approved by Associate Director (name):** Helen Knight

**Date:** 09/10/2017

## **Final appraisal determination**

(when an ACD issued)

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No issues were raised during consultation.

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Not applicable.

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable.

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable.

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Section 3.25 of the FAD states, "No equality issues were identified".

**Approved by Associate Director (name):** Helen Knight

**Date:** 19/12/2017

## **Final appraisal determination 2**

6. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

Not applicable.

7. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Not applicable.

8. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable.

9. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified

in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable.

10. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Section 3.26 of the FAD states, "No equality issues were identified".

**Approved by Associate Director (name):** Helen Knight

**Date:** 03/07/2018