NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Nivolumab for treating metastatic or unresectable urothelial cancer ID995

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Bristol-Myers Squibb (nivolumab)	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in
 Patient/carer groups Action Bladder Cancer UK 	Wales Pritish National Formulan/
 Black Health Agency 	British National FormularyCare Quality Commission
 Cancer 52 	 Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Black Care	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer Equality	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Fight Bladder Cancer	Medicines and Healthcare products
HAWC	Regulatory Agency
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	 National Association of Primary Care
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	National Pharmacy Association
Macmillan Cancer Support	NHS Alliance
Maggie's Centres	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Marie Curie	NHS Confederation
Muslim Council of Britain	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Pelican Cancer FoundationPenny Brohns UK	Comparator companies
 Penny Bronns UK South Asian Health Foundation 	 Accord Healthcare (carboplatin,
 Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	docetaxel, paclitaxel)
 Tenovus Cancer Care 	Actavis UK (docetaxel, paclitaxel)
	Dr Reddy's Laboratories (docetaxel)
Professional groups	Hospira (carboplatin, docetaxel,
 Association of Cancer Physicians 	paclitaxel)
 Bladder and Bowel Community 	Medac (docetaxel, paclitaxel)
British Association of Urological	Merck Sharp & Dohme (nambrolizumen)
Nurses	(pembrolizumab)
British Association of Urological	Peckforton Pharmaceuticals (paclitaxel)Roche (atezolizumab)
Surgeons	 Rocne (atezolizumab) Sanofi (docetaxel)
British Geriatrics SocietyBritish Gynaecological Cancer Society	 Seacross Pharmaceuticals (docetaxel)
 British Gynaecological Cancer Society British Institute of Radiology 	 Sun Pharmaceuticals UK (carboplatin)
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society 	
 British Society of Urogynaecology 	Relevant research groups

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of nivolumab for treating metastatic or unresectable urothelial cancer ID995

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Uro-Oncology Group Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Urology Foundation University College London Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Leicester City CCG NHS Walsall CCG Welsh Government 	 Cochrane Prostate Diseases and Urologic Cancers Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Urothelial Cancers Research Group, Leeds Institute of Cancer & Pathology <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of nivolumab for treating metastatic or unresectable urothelial cancer ID995

Definitions:

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Final matrix for the technology appraisal of nivolumab for treating metastatic or unresectable urothelial cancer ID995