NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

APN311 for treating high-risk neuroblastoma [ID910]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company Apeiron Biologics (APN311) Patient/carer groups Action for Sick Children Black Health Agency Cancer 52 Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Childhood Cancer Parents Alliance Children's Cancer and Leukaemia Group Children with Cancer UK CLIC Sargent Contact a Family HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patient Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain National Children's Bureau Neuroblastoma UK Rarer Cancers Foundation Rare Disease UK Solving Kids Cancer Europe South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Teenage Cancer Trust Tenovus Cancer Care Together for Shorter Lives Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians and Ireland	Appears General • Allied Health Professionals Federation • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium Possible comparator companies • Alliance Pharma (isotretinoin) • Roche (isotretinoin) • United Therapeutics (dinutuximab) Relevant research groups • Cochrane Childhood Cancer Group • Institute of Cancer Research • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Cancer Research Institute • National Cancer Research Network • National Institute for Health Research • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Cancer Research Network • National Institute for Health Research • MRcolinic Health Groups • Public Health England • Public Health England • Public Health Wa
 British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer Research UK 	

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of APN311 for treating high-risk neuroblastoma [ID910]

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	
Others Department of Health NHS Cannock Chase CCG NHS England NHS South Manchester CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of APN311 for treating high-risk neuroblastoma [ID910]

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of APN311 for treating high-risk neuroblastoma [ID910]