NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Gemtuzumab ozogamicin for untreated acute myeloid leukaemia [ID982]

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Company Pfizer (gemtuzumab ozogamicin) Patient/carer groups African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust Anthony Nolan Black Health Agency Bloodwise Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer52 DKMS Equalities National Council HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Leukaemia Cancer Society Leukaemia CARE Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Muslim Council of Britain Rarer Cancers Foundation 	 All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Blood and Transplant NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Blood Transfusion Society British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society 	 Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare Ltd (cytarabine, etoposide, fludarabine, idarubicin, mitoxantrone) Actavis UK Ltd (etoposide, fludarabine) Aspen (thioguanine) Baxter Healthcare Ltd (mitoxantrone) Hospira UK Ltd (cytarabine, mitoxantrone) Medac GmbH (etoposide) NordMedica A/S (amsacrine) Novartis (midostaurin)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the technology appraisal of gemtuzumab ozogamicin for untreated acute myeloid leukaemia [ID982]

Issue date: September 2017 1 of 3

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) British Society for Haematology Pfizer Ltd (cytarabine, idarubicin) Cancer Research UK Sanofi (fludarabine) Royal College of General Practitioners Sandoz Ltd (fludarabine) Royal College of Nursing Zentiva (daunorubicin) Royal College of Pathologists Relevant research groups Royal College of Physicians Cochrane Haematological Royal College of Radiologists Malignancies Group Royal Pharmaceutical Society Institute of Cancer Research Royal Society of Medicine Leuka Society and College of Radiography Leukaemia Busters **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association** MRC Clinical Trials Unit UK Health Forum National Cancer Research Institute **UK Oncology Nursing Society** National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Others National Institute for Health Research Department of Health Health Technology Assessment NHS England Programme NHS Havering CCG NHS West Suffolk CCG Associated Guideline Groups Welsh Government National Collaborating Centre for Cancer Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England **Public Health Wales**

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal of gemtuzumab ozogamicin for untreated acute myeloid leukaemia [ID982]

Issue date: September 2017 2 of 3

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for the technology appraisal of gemtuzumab ozogamicin for untreated acute myeloid leukaemia [ID982]

Issue date: September 2017 3 of 3

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.