NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Health Technology Appraisal

Tofacitinib for moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis

Draft scope (pre-referral)

Draft remit/appraisal objective

To appraise the clinical and cost effectiveness of tofacitinib within its marketing authorisation for treating moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis.

Background

Ulcerative colitis is the most common inflammatory bowel disease. The cause of ulcerative colitis is unknown. Hereditary, infectious and immunological factors have been proposed as possible causes. It can develop at any age, but peak incidence is between the ages of 15 and 25 years, with a second, smaller peak between 55 and 65 years. It has been estimated that around 146,000 people in England have ulcerative colitis, of whom about 52% have moderate to severe disease.

Ulcerative colitis usually affects the rectum, and a variable extent of the colon proximal to the rectum. The symptoms of ulcerative colitis are bloody diarrhoea, colicky abdominal pain, urgency and tenesmus. Some patients may have extra-intestinal manifestations involving joints, eyes, skin and liver. Ulcerative colitis is a lifelong disease that is associated with significant morbidity; symptoms can relapse and then go into remission for months or even years. Around 50% of people with ulcerative colitis will have at least one relapse per year. About 80% of these are mild to moderate and about 20% are severe. Complications of ulcerative colitis may include haemorrhage, perforation, stricture formation, abscess formation and anorectal disease. People with long-standing disease have an increased risk of bowel cancer.

The severity of ulcerative colitis may be classified based on criteria such as the Mayo Scoring System. It has 4 components: stool frequency, rectal bleeding, findings at endoscopy (typically sigmoidoscopy), and a physician's global assessment. It ranges from 0 to 12, with higher scores indicating more severe disease. A Mayo score of 6 to 12 defines moderate to severely active ulcerative colitis. It can be used for both initial evaluation and monitoring treatment response. Remission of the disease is defined by a total May score of 2 points or lower.¹ NICE clinical guideline 166 on ulcerative colitis equates 'subacute ulcerative colitis' to moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis, which would normally be managed in an outpatient setting and does not require hospitalisation or the consideration of urgent surgical intervention. The scope of this appraisal does not include severe ulcerative colitis that is a medical emergency requiring intensive inpatient treatment.

NICE recommendations for managing moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis are found in NICE clinical guideline 166, NICE technology appraisal 329 (Infliximab, adalimumab and golimumab for treating moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis after the failure of conventional therapy), and NICE technology appraisal 342 (vedolizumab for treating moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis).

The aim of treatment in active disease is to address symptoms of urgency, frequency and rectal bleeding, and thereafter to maintain remission. Initial management depends on clinical severity, extent of disease and the person's preference, and may include topical or oral aminosalicylates (sulfasalazine, mesalazine, balsalazide or olsalazine) and corticosteroids or prednisolone. If the disease does not adequately respond to oral corticosteroids then an immunosuppressant (such as a calcineurin inhibitor) or a tumour necrosis factor-alpha inhibitor (TNF-alpha inhibitor, such as infliximab, golimumab or adalimumab) may be considered. Treatment to maintain remission may include aminosalicylates or thiopurines (such as mercaptopurine or azathioprine). Colectomy (with the creation of either an ileostomy or an ileoanal pouch) is a treatment option for some patients, to improve the quality of life in chronic or treatment-refractory active disease or to treat cancer or precancerous changes.

The technology

Tofacitinib (Xeljanz, Pfizer) is a Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitor. Janus kinases are intracellular enzymes that transmit signals arising from cytokine or growth factor-receptor interactions on the cellular membrane to influence cellular processes of creating new blood cells in the body (hematopoiesis) and immune cell function. It is administered orally.

Tofacitinib does not currently have a marketing authorisation in the UK for treating moderately to severely active ulcerative. It has been studied in clinical trials as monotherapy in adults with moderate to severe ulcerative colitis (defined based on the Mayo Scoring System) whose disease failed to respond or who could not tolerate oral steroids, azathiopurine/6-mercaptopurine, or anti-TNF-alpha therapy.

Intervention	Tofacitinib
Population	People with moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis

Comparators	 TNF-alpha inhibitors (infliximab, adalimumab and golimumab) Vendolizumab Conventional therapies, which may include a combination of aminosalicylates (sulfasalazine, mesalazine, balsalazide or olsalazine), corticosteroids (beclometasone, budesonide, hydrocortisone or prednisolone), thiopurines (mercaptopurine or azathioprine), calcineurin inhibitors (tacrolimus or ciclosporin), and surgical intervention.
Outcomes	The outcome measures to be considered include:
	mortality
	 measures of disease activity
	 rates of and duration of response, relapse and remission
	 rates of hospitalisation
	 rates of surgical intervention
	 time to surgical intervention
	 achieving mucosal healing
	 adverse effects of treatment
	 health-related quality of life.
Economic analysis	The reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year.
	If the technology is likely to provide similar or greater health benefits at similar or lower cost than technologies recommended in published NICE technology appraisal guidance for the same indication, a cost-comparison may be carried out.
	The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared.
	Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.
	The availability of any patient access schemes for the intervention or comparator technologies will be taken into account.

Other considerations	If evidence allows the following subgroups will be considered:
	 People who have been previously treated with one or more TNF-alpha inhibitors and people who have not received prior TNF-alpha inhibitor therapy.
	Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation. Where the wording of the therapeutic indication does not include specific treatment combinations, guidance will be issued only in the context of the evidence that has underpinned the marketing authorisation granted by the regulator.
Related NICE recommendations and NICE Pathways	Related Technology Appraisals:
	'Vedolizumab for treating moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis' (2015). Technology appraisal guidance TA342. Review date February 2018. 'Infliximab, adalimumab and golimumab for treating moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis after the failure of conventional therapy' (2015). Technology appraisal guidance TA329. Review date February 2018.
	Related Guidelines:
	<u>Ulcerative colitis: management</u> .Clinical guideline CG166 Published date: June 2013. Surveillance review decision – June 2017 – planning an update
	Related Interventional Procedures:
	<u>'Leukapheresis for inflammatory bowel disease</u> ' (2005). NICE interventional procedures guidance 126.
	' <u>Transanal total mesorectal excision of the rectum</u> ' (2015) NICE interventional procedures guidance 514.
	Related NICE Pathways:
	Ulcerative colitis (2017) NICE pathway
	http://pathways.nice.org.uk/
Related National Policy	NHS England (2013) 2013/14 NHS Standard contract for colorectal: complex (adult) particulars, schedule 2- the services, A- Service specifications. Reference: A08/S/c
	The Health Foundation (2009) Implementing shared the UK A report for the Health Foundation
	National Service Frameworks

Long Term Conditions (including neurological) - archived
Other policies Department of Health (2016) <u>NHS outcomes framework</u> 2016 to 2017
Department of Health, NHS Outcomes Framework 2016-2017 (published 2016): Domains 1, 2. <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/nhs-</u> <u>outcomes-framework-2016-to-2017</u>

Questions for consultation

Have all relevant comparators for tofacitinib been included in the scope? Which treatments are considered to be established clinical practice in the NHS for moderately to severely active ulcerative colitis? Are the outcomes listed appropriate?

Are the subgroups suggested in 'other considerations' appropriate? Are there any other subgroups of people in whom tofacitinib is expected to be more clinically effective and cost effective or other groups that should be examined separately?

Where do you consider tofacitinib will fit into the existing NICE pathway, <u>Ulcerative colitis</u>?

NICE is committed to promoting equality of opportunity, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people with particular protected characteristics and others. Please let us know if you think that the proposed remit and scope may need changing in order to meet these aims. In particular, please tell us if the proposed remit and scope:

- could exclude from full consideration any people protected by the equality legislation who fall within the patient population for which tofacitinib will be licensed;
- could lead to recommendations that have a different impact on people protected by the equality legislation than on the wider population, e.g. by making it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology;
- could have any adverse impact on people with a particular disability or disabilities.

Please tell us what evidence should be obtained to enable the Committee to identify and consider such impacts.

Do you consider tofacitinib to be innovative in its potential to make a significant and substantial impact on health-related benefits and how it might

improve the way that current need is met (is this a 'step-change' in the management of the condition)?

Do you consider that the use of tofacitinib can result in any potential significant and substantial health-related benefits that are unlikely to be included in the QALY calculation?

Please identify the nature of the data which you understand to be available to enable the Appraisal Committee to take account of these benefits.

To help NICE prioritise topics for additional adoption support, do you consider that there will be any barriers to adoption of this technology into practice? If yes, please describe briefly.

NICE intends to appraise this technology through its Single Technology Appraisal (STA) Process. We welcome comments on the appropriateness of appraising this topic through this process. (Information on the Institute's Technology Appraisal processes is available at <u>http://www.nice.org.uk/article/pmg19/chapter/1-Introduction</u>).

NICE has published an addendum to its guide to the methods of technology appraisal (available at <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/Media/Default/About/what-we-do/NICE-guidance/NICE-technology-appraisals/methods-guide-addendumcost-comparison.pdf</u>), which states the methods to be used where a cost comparison case is made. We welcome comments on the appropriateness and suitability of the cost comparison methodology to this topic.

- Is the new technology likely to be similar in its clinical efficacy and resource use to any of the comparators?
- Is the primary outcome that was measured in the trial or used to drive the model for the comparator(s) still clinically relevant?
- Is there any substantial new evidence for the comparator technology/ies that has not been considered? Are there any important ongoing trials reporting in the next year?

References

1 Rutgeerts P, Sandborn W J, Feagan B G et al. (2005) Infliximab for Induction and Maintenance Therapy for Ulcerative Colitis. N Engl J Med 353:2462–2476.