NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Lenvatinib for untreated advanced unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma ID1089

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Eisai (lenvatinib)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Lisar (icrivatiriis)	
Dationt/corer groups	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
Patient/carer groups	Centre
Addaction	Board of Community Health Councils in
Alcohol Concern	Wales
Black Health Agency	British National Formulary
British Liver Trust	 Care Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Equality	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer 52	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
HAWC	Medicines and Healthcare products
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	Regulatory Agency
Hepatitis B Foundation UK	National Association of Primary Care
Hepatitis C Trust	National Pharmacy Association
•	NHS Alliance
Independent Cancer Patients' Voice Independent Cancer Patients' Voice	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Liver4Life	
Macmillan Cancer Support	NHS Confederation Control Madicines Consentium
Maggie's Centres	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Marie Curie	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Muslim Council of Britain	Committee
 Pelican Cancer Foundation 	
South Asian Health Foundation	Possible Comparator Companies
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Bayer (sorafenib)
Tenovus Cancer Care	
	Relevant Research groups
Professional groups	Cochrane Hepato-biliary Group
Association of Anaesthetists	CORE – Digestive Disorders
Association of Cancer Physicians	Foundation
Association of Surgeons of Great	Foundation for Liver Research
Britain and Ireland	Institute of Cancer Research
Duitink Annaisting of the Otyaly, of the	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
	National Cancer Research Institute
Liver	. is.is.is.is ouriour recodular matter

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of lenvatinib for untreated advanced unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma ID1089

Issue date: August 2017

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiologists British Liver Nurses Forum British Psychosocial Oncology Society The British Society of Gastroenterology Cancer Research UK Hepatitis Nurse Specialist Forum Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiologists UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Ipswich and East Suffolk CCG NHS Southwark CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of lenvatinib for untreated advanced unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma ID1089

Issue date: August 2017

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of lenvatinib for untreated advanced unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma ID1089

Issue date: August 2017

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.