NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Abemaciclib with an aromatase inhibitor for untreated advanced hormone-receptor positive, HER2-negative breast cancer ID1227

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company	General
Eli Lilly (abemaciclib)	All Wales Therapeutics and
	Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals
Black Health Agency	Federation
Breast Cancer Care	Board of Community Health Councils Note:
Breast Cancer Now	in Wales
Breast Cancer UK Canada Black Canada	British National Formulary Core Quality Commission
Cancer Black Care Cancer Favority	Care Quality Commission Department of Lealth Social
Cancer EqualityHaven	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for
1141410	Northern Ireland
HAWCHelen Rollason Cancer Charity	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	Medicines and Healthcare products
Macmillan Cancer Support	Regulatory Agency
Maggie's Centres	National Association for Primary
Marie Curie	Care
Muslim Council of Britain	 National Pharmacy Association
South Asian Health Foundation	NHS Alliance
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Tenovus Cancer Care	NHS Confederation
Wellbeing of Women	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Women's Health Concern	Welsh Health Specialised Services
	Committee
Professional groups	Descible comparator companies
Association of Anaesthetists	Possible comparator companies
Association of Breast Surgery	Novartis Pharmaceuticals (ribociclib) Dfizer (pollagiclib)
Association of Cancer Physicians	Pfizer (palbociclib)
Association of Surgeons of Great	Relevant research groups
Britain & Ireland	Against Breast Cancer
British Association of Surgical Oncology British Carieties Society	Breast Cancer Hope
British Geriatrics Society Pritish Institute of Registery	Breast Cancer Research Trust
British Institute of Radiology Pritish Psychological Openlogy Society	Cochrane Breast Cancer Group
British Psychosocial Oncology Society Concer Research LIV	Institute of Cancer Research
Cancer Research UK	

Provisional matrix for the appraisal of abemaciclib with an aromatase inhibitor for untreated advanced hormone-receptor positive, HER2-negative breast cancer Issue date: April 2018

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Breast Cancer Group UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Newark & Sherwood CCG NHS Stoke on Trent CCG Welsh Government 	 MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Pro-Cancer Research Fund Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.