NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Blinatumomab for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia for people with minimal residual disease activity in remission ID1036

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 <u>Company</u> Amgen (Blinatumomab) <u>Patient/carer groups</u> African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust Anthony Nolan Black Health Agency Bloodwise Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer52 DKMS HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Leukaemia Cancer Society Leukaemia CARE Lymphoma Association Macmillan Cancer Support 	 <u>General</u> Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Committee for Standards in Haematology British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Haematology Cancer Research UK 	 <u>Possible comparator companies</u> Accord (doxorubicin) Accord healthcare (methotrexate) Aspen (mercaptopurine) Concordia international (methotrexate) EUSA pharma (crisantaspase) Galen (daunorubicin) Hospira UK (methotrexate, vincrisitine) Janssen-Cilag (doxorubicin) Medac (asparginase, doxorubicin) Nova Laboratories (mercaptopurine) Pfizer (doxorubicin, methotrexate) Rosemount pharmaceuticals

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Matrix for proposed technology appraisal of blinatumomab for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia for people with minimal residual disease activity in remission ID1036 Issue date: June 2017 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society UK Chronic Lymphocytic Leukaemia Forum Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Canterbury and Coastal CCG 	 (methotrexate) Sandoz (methotrexate) Seacross pharmaceuticals (doxorubicin) Teva (doxorubicin) Zentiva (daunorubicin) Relevant research groups Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research
NHS High Weald Lewes Havens CCGWelsh Government	 <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

<u>Consultees</u>

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland;; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.