

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Dapagliflozin, in combination with insulin, for treating type 1 diabetes [ID1478]

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Company</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • AstraZeneca (dapagliflozin) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BEMDA: Black and Ethnic Minority Diabetes Association • Black Health Agency • Diabetes Research and Wellness Foundation • Diabetes UK • InDependent Diabetes Trust • INPUT • JDRF • Muslim Council of Britain • Network of Sikh Organisations • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ABCD Diabetes Technology Network UK • Association of British Clinical Diabetologists • Diabetes Inpatient Specialist Nurses • Joint British Diabetes Societies • Primary Care Diabetes Society • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine • Society for Endocrinology • Training Research and Education for Nurses in Diabetes UK 	<p><u>General commentators</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allied Health Professionals Federation • All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Care Quality Commission • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Diabetes UK Cymru • Healthcare Improvement Scotland • Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • National Pharmacy Association • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Welsh Endocrine and Diabetes Society • Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee <p><u>Comparator companies</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eli Lilly (insulin) • Novo Nordisk (insulin) • Sanofi (insulin) • Wockhardt UK (insulin) <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cochrane Metabolic & Endocrine Disorders Group • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health Research

Final matrix for the appraisal of dapagliflozin, in combination with insulin, for treating type 1 diabetes [ID1478]

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association • UK Health Forum <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health and Social Care • NHS England • NHS Greater Huddersfield • NHS North East Lincolnshire • Welsh Government 	<p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Public Health England • Public Health Wales
---	--

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary).

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.