NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Multiple Technology Appraisal

Avatrombopag and lusutrombopag for treating thrombocytopenia in people with chronic liver disease needing an elective procedure [ID1520]

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) **Company** General **Dova Pharmaceuticals** All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology • Centre (avatrombopag) Allied Health Professionals Federation Shionogi Inc (lusutrombopag) • Board of Community Health Councils in Patient/carer groups Wales Action on Addiction British National Formulary Addaction Care Quality Commission Addiction Today Department of Health, Social Services • ADFAM and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Alcohol Concern Healthcare Improvement Scotland British Liver Trust Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency Compass UK National Association of Primary Care Haemophilia Society National Pharmacy Association Hepatitis B Foundation UK NHS Alliance Hepatitis C Trust • • NHS Confederation ITP Support Association Scottish Medicines Consortium Lifeline Project Welsh Health Specialised Services Liver4Life • Committee Muslim Council of Great Britain National Obesity Forum Possible comparator companies South Asian Health Foundation None Specialised Healthcare Alliance Turning Point Relevant research groups Weight Concern • Cochrane Hepato-Biliary Group Cochrane Infectious Diseases group Professional groups Foundation for Liver Research Association of Surgeons of Great MRC Clinical Trials Unit Britain and Ireland National Institute for Health Research British Association for the Study of the • UK National Screening Committee Liver British Blood Transfusion Society Associated Public Health Groups British Committee for Standards in Public Health England Haematology

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of avatrombopag and lusutrombopag for treating thrombocytopenia in people with chronic liver disease needing an elective procedure ID1520 Issue date: November 2018

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. All rights reserved.

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Geriatric Society British Infection Society British Society for Haematology British Viral Hepatitis Group Hepatitis Nurse Specialist Forum Haemophilia Nurses Association NHS Blood and Transplant Positive Prevention Plus Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Surgeons Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Forum on Haemoglobin Disorders UK Haemophilia Centre Doctors' Organisation UK Harm Reduction Alliance 	Public Health Wales
 <u>Others</u> Department of Health and Social Care NHS Coventry and Rugby CCG NHS England NHS Leeds North CCG Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of avatrombopag and lusutrombopag for treating thrombocytopenia in people with chronic liver disease needing an elective procedure ID1520 Issue date: November 2018

© National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. All rights reserved.

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of avatrombopag and lusutrombopag for treating thrombocytopenia in people with chronic liver disease needing an elective procedure ID1520 Issue date: November 2018

[©] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. All rights reserved.