# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

### Patiromer for treating hyperkalaemia ID877

### Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company   • Vifor Pharma (patiromer)   Patient/carer groups   • Kidney Care UK   • Kidney Research UK   • Muslim Council of Great Britain   • National Kidney Federation   • South Asian Health Foundation   • Specialised Healthcare Alliance   Professional groups   • Association of Renal Technologists   • British Geriatrics Society   • British Renal Society   • Renal Association   • Royal College of General Practitioners   • Royal College of Pathologists   • Royal College of Physicians   • Royal College of Physicians   • Royal College of Physicians   • Royal Society of Medicine   • UK Clinical Pharmacy Association   • UK Renal Pharmacy Group   Others   • Department of Health   • NHS England   • NHS Cumbria CCG   • NHS Mansfield & Ashfield CCG   • Welsh Government	General   • Allied Health Professionals   • All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre   • Association of Renal Industries   • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales   • British National Formulary   • Care Quality Commission   • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland   • Healthcare Improvement Scotland   • Medicines and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency   • National Association of Primary Care   • National Pharmacy Association   • NHS Confederation   • Scottish Medicines Consortium   • Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee   • Welsh Kidney Patients Association   Possible comparator companies   • Sanofi (calcium polystyrene sulphonate, sodium polystyrene sulphonate)   Relevant research groups   • Cochrane Kidney and Transplant   • MRC Clinical Trials Unit   • National Institute for Health Research   Associated Public Health Groups   • Public Health England   • Public Health England

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

# **Definitions:**

### **Consultees**

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

#### **Commentators**

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.