NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Appraisal

Review of TA No.64 Growth hormone deficiency (adults) - human growth hormone

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Eli Lilly (somatropin)	Age Concern Cymru
• Ferring Pharmaceuticals (somatropin)	Board of Community Health Councils in
 Ipsen (somatropin) 	Wales
 Merck Serono (somatropin) 	 British National Formulary
Novo Nordisk (somatropin)	Department of Health, Social Services
Pfizer (somatropin)	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
 Sandoz (somatropin) 	 Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency
Patient/carer groups	 National Association of Primary Care
Afiya Trust	 National Public Health Service for
Black Health Agency	Wales
Chinese National Healthy Living	NHS Alliance
Centre	 NHS Confederation
 Confederation of Indian 	 NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency
Organisations	 NHS Quality Improvement Scotland
Equalities National Council	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
Muslim Council of Great Britain	
Muslim Health Network	Comparator manufacturers
Pituitary Foundation	None
Prader-Willi Syndrome Association	Relevant research groups
(UK)	Cochrane Metabolic and Endocrine
Restricted Growth Association	Disorders Group
South Asian Health Foundation	National Institute for Health Research
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	 Policy Research Institute on Ageing
Turner Syndrome Support Society	and Ethnicity
Professional groups	Research Institute for the Care of Older
British Association for Services to the	People
Elderly	United Kingdom Clinical Research
 British Geriatrics Society 	Network
Royal College of General	Assessment Group
Practitioners	Assessment Group
Royal College of Nursing	 Assessment Group tbc National Institute for Health Research
Royal College of Pathologists	National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment
 Royal College of Physicians 	neallin rechnology Assessment

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators for the review of TA No.64 Growth hormone deficiency (adults) - human growth hormone

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum Society for Endocrinology United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association 	Programme <u>Associated Guideline Groups</u> • Tbc <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> • None
Others Department of Health Hull Teaching PCT Luton Teaching PCT Welsh Assembly Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

<u>Consultees</u>

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and all non-manufacturers/sponsors consultee organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment team

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

APPENDIX A