NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal

Upadacitinib for moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis ID1400

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company	General
AbbVie (upadacitinib)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer groups Action on Pain Arthritis Action Arthritis & Musculoskeletal Alliance Arthritis Research UK BackCare Disability Rights UK Leonard Cheshire Disability Muslim Council of Britain National Rheumatoid Arthritis Society Pain Concern Pain Relief Foundation Pain UK	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance
South Asian Health FoundationSpecialised Healthcare Alliance	 NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services
<u>Professional groups</u>British Geriatrics Society	Committee
 British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine British Orthopaedic Association British Pain Society British Society for Rheumatology British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine Physiotherapy Pain Association Primary Care Rheumatology Society Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists 	 Possible comparator companies Abbvie (adalimumab) Accord Healthcare (methotrexate) Amgen (adalimumab) Biogen (etanercept, infliximab biosimilar) Boehringer Ingelheim International GmbH (adalimumab) Bristol-Myers Squibb (abatacept) Celltrion (rituximab biosimilar) Concordia International (methotrexate) Genzyme, a sanofi company (sarilumab) hameln pharmaceuticals (methotrexate)
Royal Pharmaceutical Society	Hospira (infliximab biosimilar,

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of upadacitinib for moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis ID1400. Issue date: September 2018.

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Rotherham CCG NHS Surrey Downs CCG Welsh Government	 methotrexate) Janssen (golimumab, infliximab) Lilly (baricitinib) medac GmbH (methotrexate) Merck Sharp & Dohme (infliximab biosimilar) Napp (rituximab biosimilar, infliximab biosimilar) Nordic Pharma (methotrexate) Orion Pharma (methotrexate) Pfizer (tofacitinib, etanercept, methotrexate) Roche Products (tocilizumab, rituximab) Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (methotrexate) Sandoz (rituximab biosimilar, etanercept, methotrexate) Therakind (methotrexate) Therakind (certolizumab pegol) Relevant research groups Chronic Pain Policy Coalition Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group Genomics England MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of upadacitinib for moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis ID1400. Issue date: September 2018.

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.