NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Carfilzomib for previously treated multiple myeloma (part review of TA457)

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company	General commentators
Amgen (carfilzomib)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
Patient/carer groups	Centre
Black Health Agency	Board of Community Health Councils in
Bloodwise	Wales
Cancer Black Care	British National Formulary
Cancer Equality	Care Quality Commission
Cancer52	Department of Health, Social Services
DKMS	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Leukaemia Cancer Society	Regulatory Agency
Leukaemia CARE	National Association of Primary Care
Lymphoma Action	National Pharmacy Association
Macmillan Cancer Support	NHS Alliance
Maggie's Centres	NHS Confederation
Marie Curie	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Muslim Council of Britain	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Myeloma UK	Committee
South Asian Health Foundation	
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Comparators
Tenovus Cancer Care	Advanz (dexamethasone)
Tonovao Gandor Gard	Allergan (dexamethasone)
Professional groups	Aspen (dexamethasone)
Association of Cancer Physicians	Aspire Pharma (bortezomib,
British Blood Transfusion Society	dexamethasone)
British Committee for Standards in	Bausch & Lomb (dexamethasone)
Haematology	Celgene (lenalidomide, pomalidomide)
British Geriatrics Society	Ethypharm UK (dexamethasone)
British Institute of Radiology	Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Europe
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society 	(dexamethasone)
British I sychosocial checkey British Society for Haematology	hameln pharmaceuticals
British Transplantation Society	(dexamethasone)
Cancer Research UK	Hospira UK (dexamethasone)
NHS Blood and Transplant	Janssen (bortezomib)
D O - + O D + + +	Novartis (dexamethasone,
	panobinostat)
Royal College of Nursing	1

Final matrix for Carfilzomib for previously treated multiple myeloma (part review of TA457) Issue Date: August 2019

- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal College of Radiologists
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Society and College of Radiographers
- UK Health Forum
- UK Myeloma Forum
- UK Clinical Pharmacy Association
- UK Oncology Nursing Society

Others

- Department of Health
- NHS Ashford CCG
- NHS England
- NHS Isle of Wight CCG
- Welsh Government

- Panpharma UK (dexamethasone)
- Rayner (dexamethasone)
- Rosemont (dexamethasone)
- Sanofi (dexamethasone)
- Sun Pharma (bortezomib)
- Teva UK (dexamethasone)
- Thea (dexamethasone)
- Thornton & Ross (bortezomib)
- Wockhardt (dexamethasone)

Relevant research groups

- Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group
- Institute of Cancer Research
- Leuka
- Leukaemia Busters
- MRC Clinical Trials Unit
- National Cancer Research Institute
- National Cancer Research Network
- National Institute for Health Research

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

MTA Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non- company consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal.

These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company organisations can nominate clinical or patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.