NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

NICE Technology Appraisal No.70; The clinical and cost effectiveness of imatinib for first line treatment of chronic myeloid leukaemia, and No.251; Dasatinib, nilotinib and standard-dose imatinib for the first-line treatment of chronic myeloid leukaemia

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Bristol-Myers Squibb (dasatinib)	 Allied Health Professionals Federation
Novartis Pharmaceuticals (imatinib, nilotinib)	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
	British National Formulary
Patient/carer groups	 Care Quality Commission
Afiya Trust	 Department of Health, Social Services
African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Anthony Nolan	 Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Black Health Agency	 Medicines and Healthcare Products
Cancer Black Care	Regulatory Agency
Cancer Equality	 National Association of Primary Care
Cancer52	 National Pharmacy Association
Chronic Myeloid Leukaemia Support	NHS Alliance
Group	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
Equalities National Council	NHS Confederation
HAWC	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	
 Independent Cancer Patients Voice 	Comparator manufacturers
Leukaemia Cancer Society	 AAH Pharmaceuticals (cytarabine,
Leukaemia CARE	dexamethasone and vincristine
Macmillan Cancer Support	sulphate)
Maggie's Centres	Alliance Pharmaceuticals (prednisolone)
Marie Curie Cancer Care	Amdipharm (prednisolone)
Muslim Council of Britain	Baxter Healthcare (cyclophosphamide)
Muslim Health Network	Bristol-Myers Squibb
Rarer Cancers Foundation	(hydroxycarbamide)
South Asian Health Foundation	Cephalon (doxirubicin)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Genus Pharmaceuticals (vincristine)
Tenovus	GlaxoSmithKline (busulfan,
- 10110400	mercaptopurine)
Professional groups	Hospira UK (cytarabine,
Association of Cancer Physicians	cyclophosphamide, dexamethasone,
British Committee for Standards in	doxorubicin, and vincristine sulphate)
Haematology	Lilly UK (vincristine sulphate)

NICE Technology Appraisal No.70; The clinical and cost effectiveness of imatinib for first line treatment of chronic myeloid leukaemia, and No.251; Dasatinib, nilotinib and standard-dose imatinib for the first-line treatment of chronic myeloid leukaemia

Issue date: May 2014 Page 1 of 2

- British Geriatrics Society
- British Institute of Radiology
- British Psychosocial Oncology Society
- British Society for Haematology
- Cancer Research UK
- Royal College of General Practitioners
- Royal College of Nursing
- Royal College of Pathologists
- Royal College of Physicians
- Royal College of Radiologists
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Royal Society of Medicine
- Society and College of Radiographers
- UK Health Forum
- United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association
- United Kingdom Oncology Nursing Society

Others

- Department of Health
- NHS England
- NHS North Durham CCG
- NHS Stockport CCG
- Welsh Government

- Medac UK (hydroxycarbamide)
- Merck Sharp and Dohme (dexamethasone and IFN- α)
- Napp Laboratories (cytarabine)
- Nordic Pharma (hydroxycarbamide)
- Pfizer (cytarabine, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, idarubicin hydrochloride and prednisolone)
- Roche Products (IFN-α)
- Rosemont Pharmaceuticals (dexamethasone)
- Unichem (cytarabine, vincristine sulphate)
- Waymade Healthcare (hydroxycarbamide, mercaptopurine, prednisolone)
- Zentiva UK (daunorubicin)

Relevant research groups

- Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group
- Elimination of Leukaemia Fund
- Health Research Authority
- Institute of Cancer Research
- Leuka
- Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research
- Leukaemia Busters
- MRC Clinical Trials Unit
- National Cancer Research Institute
- National Cancer Research Network
- National Institute for Health Research

Assessment Group

- Assessment Group tbc
- National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme

Associated Guideline Groups

 National Collaborating Centre for Cancer

Associated Public Health Groups

- Public Health England
- Public Health Wales NHS Trust

NICE Technology Appraisal No.70; The clinical and cost effectiveness of imatinib for first line treatment of chronic myeloid leukaemia, and No.251; Dasatinib, nilotinib and standard-dose imatinib for the first-line treatment of chronic myeloid leukaemia

Issue date: May 2014 Page 2 of 2

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Issue date: May 2014 Page 3 of 2

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology are invited to prepare a submission dossier, can respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD). All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to prepare a submission dossier respond to consultations on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document. They can nominate clinical specialists and/or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but are not asked to prepare a submission dossier. Commentators are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies)) based on a systematic review of the manufacturer/sponsor and non-manufacturer/sponsor submission dossier to the Institute.

Issue date: May 2014 Page 4 of 2