## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# **Proposed Single Technology Appraisal**

# Crisaborole for treating mild to moderate atopic dermatitis in people aged 2 years and older ID1195

## Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Pfizer (crisaborole)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology     Centre
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Action Against Allergy     Action Against Allergy	Board of Community Health Councils in
Action for Sick Children  Allower LUC	Wales
Allergy UK     Muslim Council of Britain	British National Formulary     Care Overlity Commissions
Muslim Council of Britain     South Asian Health Foundation	Care Quality Commission
South Asian Health Foundation     Specialized Healthcare Alliance	<ul> <li>Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland</li> </ul>
<ul><li>Specialised Healthcare Alliance</li><li>The National Eczema Society</li></ul>	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
National Children's Bureau	Medicines and Healthcare products
1 Vational Official S Bureau	Regulatory Agency
Professional groups	National Association of Primary Care
British Association of Dermatologists	National Pharmacy Association
British Dermatological Nursing Group	NHS Alliance
British Geriatrics Society	NHS Confederation
British Skin Foundation	Scottish Medicines Consortium
British Society for Cutaneous Allergy	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Primary Care Dermatology Society	Committee
Royal College of General Practitioners	
Royal College of Nursing	Possible comparator companies
Royal College of Paediatrics & Child	None
Health	Relevant research groups
Royal College of Pathologists     Devel College of Physicians	British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society
Royal College of Physicians     Poyal Physicians	Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology,
<ul><li>Royal Pharmaceutical Society</li><li>Royal Society of Medicine</li></ul>	University of Nottingham
UK Clinical Pharmacy Association	Cochrane Skin Group
5 On Olimbar Harmady Association	Genomics England
Others	MRC Clinical Trials Unit
Department of Health and Social Care	National Institute for Health Research
NHS Cannock Chase CCG	Skin Treatment & Research Trust
NHS England	
NHS South Worcestershire CCG	Associated Public Health groups
Welsh Government	Public Health England     Diblic Health Wales
	Public Health Wales

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of crisaborole for treating mild to moderate atopic dermatitis in people aged 2 years and older ID1195 Issue date: November 2018 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2018. All rights reserved. Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

#### Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement<sup>1</sup>, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

#### **Commentators**

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.