## NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

# Single Technology Appraisal

Pembrolizumab for untreated metastatic colorectal cancer with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency

## **Draft scope**

## Draft remit/appraisal objective

To appraise the clinical and cost effectiveness of pembrolizumab within its marketing authorisation for untreated metastatic colorectal cancer with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency.

# **Background**

Colorectal cancer is a malignant tumour arising from the lining of the large intestine (colon and rectum). Metastatic colorectal cancer refers to disease that has spread beyond the large intestine and nearby lymph nodes. This type of cancer often first spreads to the liver, but metastases may also occur in other parts of the body including the lungs, brain and bones.

## Microsatellite instability

The prevalence of high microsatellite (a repetitive DNA sequence) instability (MSI) depends on the stage of colorectal cancer. Approximately 15% of people with early stage colorectal cancer show high MSI, whereas around 4% of metastatic disease show high MSI. 1,2 High MSI has been shown to be a marker for better prognosis than low MSI or microsatellite stable tumours during the early stages of colorectal cancer. MSI status is determined by PCR (polymerase chain reaction)-based analysis of tissue samples from colorectal cancer tumours to detect a standardised panel of DNA markers. NICE diagnostics guidance (DG27) recommends testing all people with colorectal cancer, when first diagnosed using immunohistochemistry for mismatch repair proteins or microsatellite instability testing to identify tumours with deficient DNA mismatch repair.

## DNA mismatch repair deficiency

DNA mismatch repair (MMR) deficiency results in mutations, tumour development and progression. DNA MMR-deficient tumours are associated with a higher rate of MSI mutations.<sup>3</sup>

### Treatment options

Metastatic colorectal cancer treatment aims to prolong survival and improve quality of life. There are currently no treatments available specifically for tumours with high MSI or MMR deficiency. Metastatic colorectal cancer treatment can involve a combination of surgery (to resect the primary tumour or the metastases), chemotherapy (to make the tumour or metastases resectable, or to manage the cancer), biological therapy, and radiotherapy.

Draft scope for the appraisal of Pembrolizumab for untreated colorectal cancer with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency

For advanced or metastatic colorectal cancers, NICE recommend that initial chemotherapy can be given alone, or combined with biological epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors for patients with RAS wild-type disease (see <a href="NICE CG131">NICE TA61</a> and <a href="NICE TA439">NICE TA439</a>). Treatment options include:

- folinic acid plus fluorouracil plus oxaliplatin (FOLFOX)
- capecitabine plus oxaliplatin (XELOX)
- capecitabine or tegafur with uracil (in combination with folinic acid)
- cetuximab or panitumumab in combination with FOLFOX or folinic acid plus fluorouracil plus irinotecan (FOLFIRI).

# The technology

Pembrolizumab (Keytruda, Merck Sharp & Dohme) is a humanised monoclonal antibody that targets and blocks a receptor on the surface of lymphocytes known as PD-1. This receptor is part of the immune checkpoint pathway, and blocking its activity may promote an anti-tumour immune response. It is administered intravenously.

Pembrolizumab does not currently have a marketing authorisation in the UK for treating metastatic colorectal cancer with high MSI or MMR. It has been studied in clinical trials in adults with metastatic colorectal cancer with high MSI or MMR.

Intervention	Pembrolizumab
Population	Adults with metastatic colorectal cancer with high microsatellite instability or mismatched repair deficiency.
Comparators	For all patients
	Folinic acid plus fluorouracil plus oxaliplatin (FOLFOX)
	Capecitabine plus oxaliplatin (XELOX)
	Capecitabine
	Tegafur with uracil (in combination with folinic acid)
	For patients with RAS wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer
	Cetuximab in combination with FOLFOX or folinic acid plus fluorouracil plus irinotecan (FOLFIRI)
	Panitumumab in combination with FOLFOX or FOLFIRI

# **Outcomes** The outcome measures to be considered include: overall survival progression-free survival response rates adverse effects of treatment health-related quality of life. **Economic** The reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of analysis treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year. The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective. The availability of any commercial arrangements for the intervention, comparator and subsequent treatment technologies will be taken into account. The economic modelling should include the costs associated with diagnostic testing for microsatellite instability status in people with metastatic colorectal cancer who would not otherwise have been tested. A sensitivity analysis should be provided without the cost of the diagnostic test. See section 5.9 of the Guide to the Methods of Technology Appraisals. Other Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the considerations marketing authorisation. Where the wording of the therapeutic indication does not include specific treatment combinations, guidance will be issued only in the context of the evidence that has underpinned the marketing authorisation granted by the regulator. If the evidence allows the subgroup of people with RAS wild-type colorectal cancer will be considered. Related NICE **Related Technology Appraisals:** recommendatio Cetuximab and panitumumab for previously untreated ns and NICE metastatic colorectal cancer (2017) NICE Technology **Pathways** Appraisal guidance TA439. Next review: March 2020. Laparoscopic surgery for colorectal cancer (2006) NICE Technology Appraisal guidance TA105. Reviewed:

Draft scope for the appraisal of Pembrolizumab for untreated colorectal cancer with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency

Decision to move to static list.

Guidance on the use of capecitabine and tegafur with uracil for metastatic colorectal cancer (2003) NICE Technology Appraisal guidance TA61. Reviewed: Decision to move to static list.

# Appraisals in development (including suspended appraisals):

Nivolumab with ipilimumab for treating metastatic colorectal cancer with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency NICE Technology Appraisals [ID1332]. Suspended.

## **Related Guidelines:**

<u>Colorectal cancer: diagnosis and management of colorectal cancer</u> (2014) NICE Guideline CG131. Update expected October 2019.

## **Related Diagnostic Programme:**

Molecular testing for Lynch syndrome in people with colorectal cancer. NICE diagnostic guidance [DG27]. Publication: February 2017. Review: August 2020.

# **Related Quality Standards:**

<u>Colorectal cancer</u> (2012) NICE Quality Standard QS20 <u>Suspected Cancer</u> (2016) NICE Quality Standard QS124

# Related NICE Pathways:

Colorectal cancer (2016) NICE pathway

http://pathways.nice.org.uk/pathways/colorectal-cancer

# Related National Policy

NHS England (2015) Colorectal Cancer PROMs Report

NHS England (2017) Manual for Prescribed Specialised Services 2017/18. (See: Specialised Colorectal Services)

Department of Health and Social Care, NHS Outcomes Framework 2016-2017 (published 2016): Domains 1 and 4. <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/nhs-outcomes-framework-2016-to-2017">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/nhs-outcomes-framework-2016-to-2017</a>

### **Questions for consultation**

Have all relevant comparators for pembrolizumab been included in the scope?

Which treatments are considered to be established clinical practice in the NHS for metastatic colorectal cancer? Are treatment combinations which do not include EGFR-targeted therapies appropriate comparators in the RAS wild-type population?

Draft scope for the appraisal of Pembrolizumab for untreated colorectal cancer with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency

Issue Date: November 2018

Is testing for microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency established clinical practice in the NHS?

Are the outcomes listed appropriate?

Is the subgroup of "people with RAS wild-type colorectal cancer" identified in the 'other considerations' appropriate. Are there any other subgroups of people in whom pembrolizumab is expected to be more clinically effective and cost effective or other groups that should be examined separately?

Where do you consider pembrolizumab will fit into the existing NICE pathway, colorectal cancer <a href="http://pathways.nice.org.uk/pathways/colorectal-cancer">http://pathways.nice.org.uk/pathways/colorectal-cancer</a>?

NICE is committed to promoting equality of opportunity, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people with particular protected characteristics and others. Please let us know if you think that the proposed remit and scope may need changing in order to meet these aims. In particular, please tell us if the proposed remit and scope:

- could exclude from full consideration any people protected by the equality legislation who fall within the patient population for which pembrolizumab will be licensed;
- could lead to recommendations that have a different impact on people protected by the equality legislation than on the wider population, e.g. by making it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology;
- could have any adverse impact on people with a particular disability or disabilities.

Please tell us what evidence should be obtained to enable the Committee to identify and consider such impacts.

Do you consider pembrolizumab to be innovative in its potential to make a significant and substantial impact on health-related benefits and how it might improve the way that current need is met (is this a 'step-change' in the management of the condition)?

Do you consider that the use of pembrolizumab can result in any potential significant and substantial health-related benefits that are unlikely to be included in the QALY calculation?

Please identify the nature of the data which you understand to be available to enable the Appraisal Committee to take account of these benefits.

To help NICE prioritise topics for additional adoption support, do you consider that there will be any barriers to adoption of this technology into practice? If ves. please describe briefly.

NICE intends to appraise this technology through its Single Technology Appraisal (STA) Process. We welcome comments on the appropriateness of appraising this topic through this process. (Information on the Institute's Technology Appraisal processes is available at <a href="http://www.nice.org.uk/article/pmg19/chapter/1-Introduction">http://www.nice.org.uk/article/pmg19/chapter/1-Introduction</a>).

#### References

- Xiao Y, Freeman GJ. <u>The microsatellite instable subset of colorectal cancer is a particularly good candidate for checkpoint blockade immunotherapy.</u> Cancer Discov. 2015;5(1):16-8.
- Fujiyoshi K, Yamamoto G, Takenoya T, et al. <u>Metastatic Pattern of Stage IV Colorectal Cancer with High-Frequency Microsatellite Instability as a Prognostic Factor.</u> Anticancer Res. 2017;37(1):239-47
- Gologan A, Sepulveda AR. <u>Microsatellite instability and DNA mismatch</u> repair deficiency testing in hereditary and sporadic gastrointestinal cancers. Clin Lab Med. 2005 Mar; 25(1):179-96.