NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Nivolumab for previously treated locally advanced or metastatic non-squamous non-small-cell lung cancer

Matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Bristol-Myers Squibb (nivolumab)	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
 Patient/carer groups Roy Castle Lung Cancer Foundation 	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
	 Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (docetaxel, carboplatin, cisplatin, gemcitabine)(Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Actavis UK (docetaxel, gemcitabine, vinorelbine) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) AstraZeneca (gefitinib) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not signed, not participating) Boehringer Ingelheim (afatinib, nintedanib) Dr Reddy's Laboratories (docetaxel) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Eli Lilly and Company (gemcitabine, pemetrexed) Hospira UK (docetaxel, carboplatin, cisplatin, gemcitabine) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Medac UK (docetaxel, gemcitabine, vinorelbine) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating)
	 Novartis (ceritinib) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the single technology appraisal of Nivolumab for treating metastatic, non-squamous, non-small-cell lung cancer after chemotherapy [ID900]

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
	 Pierre Fabre (vinorelbine) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Pfizer (crizotinib) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Roche Products (erlotinib) Sandoz (cisplatin) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Sanofi (docetaxel) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating) Sun Pharmaceuticals UK (carboplatin, gemcitabine) Confidentiality agreement not signed, not participating)
	 <u>Relevant research groups</u> Institute of Cancer Research National Cancer Research Institute
	 <u>Associated Public Health Groups</u> None

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that manufactures the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that manufactures the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that manufacture comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland ; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

[1] Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Matrix for the single technology appraisal of Nivolumab for treating metastatic, non-squamous, non-small-cell lung cancer after chemotherapy [ID900]

¹ Non -company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence