NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE **EXCELLENCE**

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA: Abemaciclib with fulvestrant for treating hormonereceptor positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer after endocrine therapy [ID2727]

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

1 Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

No equality or diversity issues were raised during the scoping process as the scope was shared for information only as this is a Cancer Drugs Fund review.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No equality issues have been raised in the submissions.

Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the 3. committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No equality issues have been raised by the committee.

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of abemaciclib with fulvestrant for treating hormone-receptor positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer after endocrine therapy [ID2727]

4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
No.	
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No.	
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
Not applicable.	
7.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?
Not applicable.	

Approved by Associate Director (name): Janet Robertson

Date: 19 January 2021

2 of 4

Issue date: September 2021

Final appraisal determination

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No equality issues were identified during the consultation process.

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Change to a positive recommendation. No barriers or difficulties identified with access for specific groups.

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

None identified.

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

N/A.

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of abemaciclib with fulvestrant for treating hormone-receptor positive, HER2-negative advanced breast cancer after endocrine therapy IID27271

3 of 4

Issue date: September 2021

N/A (not described in FAD)

Approved by Associate Director (name): Janet Robertson

Date: 20 July 2021

Issue date: September 2021