NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Pembrolizumab with platinum-based chemotherapy for untreated advanced oesophageal cancer ID3741

Provisional Stakeholder List

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Roche (pembrolizumab)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Black Health AgencyCancer Black Care	Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Cancer Equality	British National Formulary
• Cancer 52	Care Quality Commission
Helen Rollason Cancer CharityIndependent Cancer Patients Voice	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Independent Cancer Patients VoiceMacmillan Cancer Support	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Maggie's Centres	Medicines and Healthcare products
Marie Curie	Regulatory Agency
• Ochre	National Association of Primary Care
Oesophageal Patients Association	National Pharmacy AssociationNHS Alliance
South Asian Health FoundationSpecialised Healthcare Alliance	NHS Alliance NHS Confederation
Tenovus Cancer Care	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Tollovae Galloel Gale	Scottish Society of Gastroenterology
Professional groups	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Association of Cancer Physicians	Committee
 Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland 	Possible comparator companies
 Association of Upper Gastrointestinal 	Accord Healthcare (capecitabine, cisplatin,
Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland	epirubicin, fluorouracil, oxaliplatin)
British Association of Surgical Oncology	Consilient Health (fluorouracil)
 British Geriatrics Society 	Dr Reddy's Laboratories (capecitabine)
British Institute of Radiology	Fresenius Kabi (oxaliplatin) Clement Pharmacouticala Furance
British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Castroontorology	Glenmark Pharmaceuticals Europe (capecitabine)
British Society of GastroenterologyCancer Research UK	Hospira UK (cisplatin, fluorouracil,
Primary Care Society for	oxaliplatin)
Gastroenterology	Medac GmbH (epirubicin, fluorouracil,
Royal College of Anaesthetists	oxaliplatin)
Royal College of General Practitioners Page 1 College of Number 1	Morningside Healthcare (capecitabine)Mylan (capecitabine, epirubicin)
Royal College of Nursing Payal College of Pathologists	Pfizer (cisplatin, epirubicin, fluorouracil,
Royal College of PathologistsRoyal College of Physicians	oxaliplatin)
Royal College of Radiologists	Roche (capecitabine)
Royal College of Surgeons	Sandoz (cisplatin, oxaliplatin)

Provisional stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of pembrolizumab with platinum-based chemotherapy for untreated advanced oesophageal cancer ID3741. Issue date: May 2020 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2020. All rights reserved Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Sun Pharmaceutical Industries Europe (oxaliplatin) Teva (cisplatin) Zentiva (capecitabine)
Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Bassetlaw CCG NHS Fylde & Wyre CCG Welsh Government	 Relevant research groups Cochrane Upper Gastrointestinal and Pancreatic Diseases Group Genomics England Guts UK Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Oracle Cancer Trust Associated Public Health groups
	 Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

_

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Appendix C

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.