NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE **EXCELLENCE**

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA Atezolizumab for untreated locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma where cisplatin is unsuitable

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

Consultation

1 Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

No equality issues were raised during the scoping process.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No equality issues were raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report.

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No equality issues were identified by the committee.

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Issue date: October 2017

	groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?
No	
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No	
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
N/A	

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?

Yes, in section 3.28.

Approved by Associate Director (name): Helen Knight

Date: 22/07/2017

Final appraisal determination

(when an ACD issued)

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

unsuitable 2 of 4

Issue date: October 2017

Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the 1. consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these? No equality issues were raised during the consultation. 2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group? No. 3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability? No. 4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality? N/A. 5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of atezolizumab for untreated locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma where cisplatin is unsuitable

Issue date: October 2017

Yes, in section 3.26.

Approved by Associate Director (name): Helen Knight

Date: 18/09/2017

Issue date: October 2017