NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Atezolizumab for untreated PD-L1-positive locally advanced or metastatic urothelial cancer when cisplatin is unsuitable (CDF Review of TA492) [ID3777]

Final stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company Atezolizumab (Roche) Patient/carer groups Action Bladder Cancer UK Black Health Agency for Equality Bladder and Bowel UK Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer 52 Fight Bladder Cancer Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Pelican Cancer Foundation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians British Association of Urological Nurses	 General All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 British Association of Urological Nurses British Association of Urological Surgeons British Geriatrics Society British Gynaecological Cancer Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Urogenital Radiology British Society of Urogynaecology 	 Comparator companies Accord Healthcare (carboplatin, gemcitabine) Consilient Health (carboplatin) Fresenius Kabi Oncology (carboplatin, gemcitabine) Hospira (carboplatin, gemcitabine) Pfizer (carboplatin, gemcitabine)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final stakeholder list for the appraisal of atezolizumab for untreated PD-L1-positive locally advanced or metastatic urothelial cancer when cisplatin is unsuitable (CDF Review of TA492) [ID3777]

Issue date: April 2021 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Uro-Oncology Group Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society Urology Foundation 	 Sun Pharmaceuticals UK Ltd (carboplatin, gemcitabine) Teva UK (carboplatin) Relevant research groups Cochrane Urology Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research
Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Newbury and District CCG NHS Sheffield CCG Welsh Government	 Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final stakeholder list for the appraisal of atezolizumab for untreated PD-L1-positive locally advanced or metastatic urothelial cancer when cisplatin is unsuitable (CDF Review of TA492) [ID3777]

Issue date: April 2021 Page 2 of 3

Definitions:

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final stakeholder list for the appraisal of atezolizumab for untreated PD-L1-positive locally advanced or metastatic urothelial cancer when cisplatin is unsuitable (CDF Review of TA492) [ID3777]

Issue date: April 2021 Page 3 of 3

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.