NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Apalutamide for treating prostate cancer [ID1534]

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Company	General
Janssen (apalutamide)	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
Patient/carer groups	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Black Health AgencyBob Champion Cancer Trust	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Cancer Black Care	British National Formulary
Cancer Equality	Care Quality Commission
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	Department of Health, Social Services Department of Health, Social Services
Independent Cancer Patients VoiceMacmillan Cancer Support	and Public Safety for Northern IrelandHealthcare Improvement Scotland
Maggie's Centres	Medicines and Healthcare products
Marie Curie	Regulatory Agency
Muslim Council of Britain	National Association of Primary Care
Orchid Daliana Canana Farm dation	National Pharmacy AssociationNHS Alliance
Pelican Cancer Foundation Prostate Cancer Support	NHS Confederation
 Prostate Cancer Support Organisation 	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Prostate Cancer UK	Welsh Health Specialised Services
Prostate Help Association	Committee
South Asian Health Foundation	
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Possible comparator companies
Tackle Prostate Cancer Tagging Canada Canada	Accord (docetaxel, bicalutamide)Advanz Pharma (leuprorelin)
Tenovus Cancer Care	Astellas Pharma (enzalutamide)
<u>Professional groups</u>	AstraZeneca UK (bicalutamide,
Association of Anaesthetists	goserelin)
Association of Cancer Physicians	Bayer (cyproterone acetate)
Association of Surgeons of Great	Concordia International (leuprorelin)
Britain and Ireland	Ferring Pharmaceuticals (degarelix, trintorelin)
British Association of Urological Nurses	triptorelin) Generics UK T/A Mylan (flutamide)
British Association of Urological	Hospira (docetaxel)
Surgeons	Ipsen (triptorelin)
British Geriatrics Society	Janssen (abiraterone)
British Institute of Radiology	Orion Pharma UK (histrelin)
British Prostate Group	Sanofi (buserelin)
British Psychosocial Oncology	Seacross (docetaxel)

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of apalutamide for treating prostate cancer ID1534. Issue date: May 2020

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Society Sunpharma (bicalutamide) **British Uro-Oncology Group** Takeda UK (leuprorelin) Cancer Research UK Wockhardt UK (cyproterone acetate) Prostate Cancer Advisory group Zentiva (bicalutamide) Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Relevant research groups **Practitioners** Cochrane Urology Genomics England Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Institute of Cancer Research Royal College of Physicians MRC Clinical Trials Unit Royal College of Radiologists National Cancer Research Institute Royal College of Surgeons National Cancer Research Network Royal Pharmaceutical Society National Institute for Health Research Royal Society of Medicine Ovarian & Prostate Cancer Research Society and College of Trust Radiographers Pro Cancer Research Fund **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association** Prostate Cancer Research Centre UK Health Forum Associated Public Health groups UK Oncology Nursing Society Public Health England Urology Foundation Public Health Wales Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS England NHS Slough CCG NHS West Lancashire CCG Welsh Government

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland;; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of apalutamide for treating prostate cancer ID1534. Issue date: May 2020

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.