NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

Equality impact assessment – Guidance development

STA Mogamulizumab for previously treated mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

princip	orinciples of the NICE equality scheme.		
Consultation			
1.	Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?		
N/A			
2.	Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?		
No			
3.	Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?		
No			
4.	Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?		

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of mogamulizumab for previously

treated mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome

Issue date: December 2021 1 of 5

No	
5.	Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?
No	
6.	Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?
No	
7.	Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the appraisal consultation document, and, if so, where?

Approved by Associate Director (name): Jasdeep Hayre

Yes – see section 3.17 in the appraisal consultation document

Date: 20 July 2020

Final appraisal determination 1

(when an ACD issued)

1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?
No.	

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

NA – recommendations have not changed.

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

NA – recommendations have not changed.

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

NA – recommendations have not changed.

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of mogamulizumab for previously treated mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome

No, t	pecause there were no equality issues identified for consideration.		
Approved by Associate Director (name):Ross Dent			
Date: 28/01/21			
Final appraisal determination 2			
(follov	ving appeal)		
1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?		
No.			
2.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?		
No.			
3.	If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?		
No.			

Technology appraisals: Guidance development Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of mogamulizumab for previously treated mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome

Issue date: December 2021 4 of 5 4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

No.

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final appraisal determination, and, if so, where?

No, because there were no equality issues identified for consideration.

Approved by Associate Director (name): Ross Dent

Date: 29/10/2021

Equality impact assessment for the single technology appraisal of mogamulizumab for previously treated mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome

treated mycosis fungoides and Sézary syndrome Issue date: December 2021 5 of 5