NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Daratumumab in combination for untreated multiple myeloma when stem cell transplant is suitable ID1510

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Janssen-Cilag (daratumumab,	All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology
bortezomib)	Centre
	Allied Health Professionals Federation
Patient/carer group	Board of Community Health Councils in
Anthony Nolan	Wales
African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust	British National Formulary
Black Health Agency	Care Quality Commission
Blood Cancer UK	Department of Health, Social Services
Cancer Black Care	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Cancer Equality	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Cancer52	Medicines and Healthcare Products
• DKMS	Regulatory Agency
Helen Rollason Cancer Charity	National Association of Primary Care
Independent Cancer Patients Voice	National Pharmacy Association
Leukaemia Cancer Society	NHS Alliance
Leukaemia CARE	NHS Confederation
Lymphoma Action	Scottish Medicines Consortium
Macmillan Cancer Support	Welsh Health Specialised Services ""
Maggie's Centres	Committee
Marie Curie	Comparator companies
Muslim Council of Britain	Comparator companies
Myeloma UK	Advanz Pharma (dexamethasone)Alan Pharmaceuticals (thalidomide)
South Asian Health Foundation	A : DI // ' '
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	 Aspire Pharma (bortezomib, dexamethasone)
Tenovus Cancer Care	 Baxter Healthcare (cyclophosphamide)
Professional groups	 Celgene (thalidomide)
	 Dr. Reddy's Laboratories (bortezomib)
 Association of Cancer Physicians British Blood Transfusion Society 	 Rosemont Pharmaceuticals
British Blood Transidsion Society British Committee for Standards in	(dexamethasone)
Haematology	Sandoz (cyclophosphamide)
 British Geriatrics Society 	 Sigma Pharmaceuticals
British Institute of Radiology	(dexamethasone)
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society 	Thea Pharmaceuticals

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Society for Haematology British Transplantation Society Cancer Research UK ESPRIT NHS Blood and Transplant Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Health Forum UK Myeloma Forum UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 (dexamethasone) Thornton & Ross (bortezomib) Relevant research groups Cochrane Haematological Malignancies Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research Leuka Leukaemia Busters MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
 Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS City and Hackney CCG NHS England NHS Solihull CCG Welsh Government 	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.