NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Pembrolizumab for adjuvant treatment of resected melanoma with high risk of recurrence [ID3776 (CDF review 553)

Stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Company MSD (pembrolizumab) Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency British Skin Foundation Cancer 52 Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients' Voice Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Cancer Care Melanoma UK Muslim Council of Britain Skin - Karen Clifford Skin Cancer Charity South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Tenovus Cancer Care 	 General All Wales Therapeutic and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Professional groups Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Association of Surgical Oncology British Association of Dermatologists – British Association of Skin Cancer Specialist Nurses British Dermatological Nursing Group British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society 	 Possible Comparator companies None Relevant research groups British Society for Dermatological Surgery Cochrane Skin Group Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit Myfanwy Townsend Melanoma Research Fund National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of pembrolizumab for adjuvant treatment of resected melanoma with high risk of recurrence Issue date: July 2021

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Society for Dermatological Surgery Cancer UK Melanoma Focus Primary Care Dermatology Society Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 National Institute for Health Research Skin Cancer Research Fund Skin Research Centre Skin Treatment & Research Trust
Others Department of Health NHS England NHS South Cheshire CCG NHS West London CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical experts and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland;; related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non company commentators are invited to nominate clinical or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of pembrolizumab for adjuvant treatment of resected melanoma with high risk of recurrence Issue date: July 2021

¹ Non company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.