NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Upadacitinib for treating active psoriatic arthritis after inadequate response to DMARDs [ID2690]

Final stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Company AbbVie (upadacitinib) Patient/carer groups Action on Pain Arthritis Action Arthritis and Musculoskeletal Alliance Muslim Council of Britain National Rheumatoid Arthritis Society Pain Concern Pain Relief Foundation Pain UK Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis Alliance Psoriasis Association Psoriasis Help Organisation South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance Versus Arthritis 	 All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Professional groups British Association of Dermatologists British Dermatological Nursing Group British Geriatrics Society British Institute of Musculoskeletal Medicine British Orthopaedic Association British Pain Society British Skin Foundation British Society for Rheumatology British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine Chartered Society for Physiotherapy Physiotherapy Pain Association Primary Care Dermatology Society 	 Possible comparator companies AbbVie (adalimumab) Accord Healthcare (methotrexate) Advanz Pharma (methotrexate) Amgen (adalimumab) Aspire (leflunomide) Biogen (adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab) Celgene (apremilast) Cipla EU (methotrexate) Eli Lilly and Company (ixekizumab) Fresenius Kabi (adalimumab) Hospira UK (methotrexate) Intrapharm Laboratories (methotrexate)

Stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of upadacitinib for treating active psoriatic arthritis after inadequate response to DMARDs ID2690 Issue date: October 2020 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2020. All rights reserved Page 1 of 3

Consultees Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) Primary Care Rheumatology Society Janssen-Cilag (gueslkumab, Rheumatoid Arthritis Surgical Society ustekinumab) Royal College of General Practitioners Medac GmbH (leflunomide, methotrexate) Royal College of Nursing Merck, Sharp & Dohme (golimumab, Royal College of Pathologists infliximab) Royal College of Physicians Morningside Healthcare (methotrexate) Royal Pharmaceutical Society Mylan (adalimumab, leflunomide) Royal Society of Medicine Napp Pharmaceuticals (infliximab) Society and College of Radiographers Nordic Pharma (methotrexate) **UK Clinical Pharmacy Association** Novartis (secukinumab) Orion Pharma UK (methotrexate) Others Pfizer (etanercept, infliximab, Department of Health and Social Care methotrexate, tofacitinib) NHS England Relonchem (leflunomide) NHS Leeds South and East CCG **Rosemont Pharmaceuticals** NHS Salford CCG (methotrexate) Welsh Government Samsung Bioepis (adalimumab, etanercept) Sandoz (adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, leflunomide, methotrexate) Sanofi (leflunomide) Therakind (methotrexate) UCB Pharma (certolizumab pegol) Relevant research groups British Epidermo-Epidemiology Society British Psoriatic Arthritis Consortium Centre of Evidence-based Dermatology, University of Nottingham Chronic Pain Policy Coalition Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group Cochrane Skin Group Genomics England MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Institute for Health Research Orthopaedic Research UK Pain Relief Foundation Skin Treatment and Research Trust Associated Public Health groups Public Health England **Public Health Wales**

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of upadacitinib for treating active psoriatic arthritis after inadequate response to DMARDs ID2690 Issue date: October 2020 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2020. All rights reserved Page 1 of 3

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.