NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Cancer Drugs Fund review of technology appraisal 528 of niraparib as maintenance treatment of recurrent, platinum-sensitive ovarian, fallopian tube and peritoneal cancer that has responded to platinum-based chemotherapy [ID1644]

Stakeholder list of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
CompanyGlaxoSmithKline (Niraparib)Patient/carer groups	 General Allied Health Professionals Federation All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre
 Black Health Agency Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer 52 HAWC Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice JNetics Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie Muslim Council of Britain Ovacome Ovacome South Asian Health Foundation Specialised Healthcare Alliance 	 Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
 Target Ovarian Cancer Tenovus Cancer Care The Eve Appeal The Robin Cancer Trust 	Possible comparators None
 Wellbeing of Women Women's Health Concern 	 Relevant research groups Cochrane Gynaecological Cancer Group
<u>Professional groups</u>Association of Cancer PhysiciansBritish Geriatrics Society	Institute of Cancer ResearchMRC Clinical Trials UnitNational Cancer Research Institute

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the Cancer Drugs Fund review of technology appraisal 528 of niraparib as maintenance treatment of recurrent, platinum-sensitive ovarian, fallopian tube and peritoneal cancer that has responded to platinum-based chemotherapy [ID1664]

Issue date: April 2021 Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 British Gynaecological Cancer Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society of Urogynaecology Cancer Research UK National Forum of Gynaecological Oncology Nurses Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Ovarian & Prostate Cancer Research Trust Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health NHS Central Manchester CCG NHS Wakefield CCG NHS England Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the Cancer Drugs Fund review of technology appraisal 528 of niraparib as maintenance treatment of recurrent, platinum-sensitive ovarian, fallopian tube and peritoneal cancer that has responded to platinum-based chemotherapy [ID1664]

Issue date: April 2021 Page 2 of 3

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Matrix for the Cancer Drugs Fund review of technology appraisal 528 of niraparib as maintenance treatment of recurrent, platinum-sensitive ovarian, fallopian tube and peritoneal cancer that has responded to platinum-based chemotherapy [ID1664]

Issue date: April 2021 Page 3 of 3