

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Review Proposal Project

**NICE Technology Appraisal guidance no. 83; laparoscopic surgery for
inguinal hernia repair**

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applied medical (laparoscopic surgery devices) • Bard (laparoscopic surgery devices) • Ethicon Endo-Surgery (laparoscopic surgery devices) • Karl Storz Endoscopy (UK) (laparoscopic surgery devices) • Keymed (laparoscopic surgery devices) • Mantis Surgical (laparoscopic surgery devices) • RB Medical (laparoscopic surgery devices) • Richard Wolf UK (laparoscopic surgery devices) • Smith & Nephew Healthcare (laparoscopic surgery devices) • Stryker UK (laparoscopic surgery devices) • Tyco (laparoscopic surgery devices) • W.L. Gore & Associates (laparoscopic surgery devices) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Afiya Trust • Black Health Agency (BHA) • Bowel and Bladder Foundation • Chinese National Healthy Living Centre • Counsel and Care • Equalities National Council • Men's Health Forum 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of British Healthcare Industries • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • Care Quality Commission • Commissioning Support Appraisals Service • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • EUCOMED • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency • National Association of Primary Care • NHS Alliance • NHS Commercial Medicines Unit • NHS Confederation • NHS Quality Improvement Scotland • Public Health Wales NHS Trust • Scottish Medicines Consortium <p><u>Comparator manufacturer(s)</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • none <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CORE • MRC Clinical Trials Unit • National Institute for Health Research • Policy Research Institute on Ageing and Ethnicity • Research Institute for the Care of Older People

National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence

NICE Technology Appraisal Guidance No 83; laparoscopic surgery for inguinal hernia repair

Issue date: November 2010

Page 1 of 3

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Muslim Council of Britain • Muslim Health Network • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance <p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland • British Association for Services to the Elderly • British Geriatrics Society • British Hernia Care • British Society of Gastroenterology • Royal College of Anaesthetists • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal College of Radiologists • Royal College of Surgeons <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Health • Gateshead PCT • NHS Blackburn with Darwen Teaching Care Trust Plus • Welsh Assembly Government 	<p><u>Assessment Group</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment Group tbc • National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme <p><u>Associated Guideline Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • none <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • none

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do share it. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Assessment Group

An independent academic group (commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.