NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Evaluation

Teclistamab for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma after 3 therapies ID5087

Draft scope

Draft remit/evaluation objective

To appraise the clinical and cost effectiveness of teclistamab within its marketing authorisation for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma after 3 therapies.

Background

Multiple myeloma is a form of cancer that arises from plasma cells (a type of white blood cell) in the bone marrow. Myeloma cells produce large quantities of an abnormal antibody, known as paraprotein. Unlike normal antibodies, paraprotein has no useful function and lacks the capacity to fight infection. Myeloma cells supress the development of normal blood cells that are responsible for fighting infection (white blood cells), carrying oxygen around the body (red blood cells) and blood clotting (platelets). The term multiple myeloma refers to the presence of more than one site of affected bone at the time of diagnosis. People with multiple myeloma can experience bone pain, bone fractures, tiredness (due to anaemia), infections, hypercalcaemia (too much calcium in the blood) and kidney problems.

Approximately 5,000 people are diagnosed with multiple myeloma in England each year (2016 to 2018 data). Five-year prevalence of multiple myeloma in the UK is 26 per 100,000. It is most frequently diagnosed in older people, with 43% of new cases of multiple myeloma in England in people aged 75 years or older. The 5-year survival rate for adults with multiple myeloma in England and Wales is estimated to be 52%. Multiple myeloma is more common in men than in women. The incidence rates are also reported to be lower in the Asian ethnic group, higher in the Black ethnic group, and similar in people of mixed or multiple ethnicity, compared with the White ethnic group, in England (2013-2017 data.

The main aims of therapy are to prolong survival and maintain a good quality of life by controlling the disease and relieving symptoms. If the disease progresses after initial treatment, the choice of subsequent therapy is influenced by previous treatment and response to it, duration of remission, comorbidities and patient preference.

For people who have had at least 2 prior therapies:

- NICE technology appraisal guidance 171 recommends lenalidomide plus dexamethasone as a treatment option for people with multiple myeloma who have had at least 2 prior therapies.
- NICE technology appraisal guidance 380 recommends panobinostat plus bortezomib and dexamethasone as a treatment option for adults who have had at least 2 prior therapies including bortezomib and an immunomodulatory agent.

 NICE technology appraisal guidance 505 recommends ixazomib citrate plus lenalidomide and dexamethasone for use within the Cancer Drugs Fund as a treatment option for adults who have had 2 or 3 previous therapies.

For people who have had at least 3 prior therapies:

- NICE technology appraisal guidance 427 recommends pomalidomide plus lowdose dexamethasone as a treatment option for adults who have had at least 3 previous treatments including both lenalidomide and bortezomib.
- NICE technology appraisal guidance 783 recommends daratumumab monotherapy for use as a treatment option for adults who have had 3 previous treatments including a proteasome inhibitor and an immunomodulator.
- NICE technology appraisal guidance 658 recommends isatuximab plus pomalidomide and dexamethasone for use within the Cancer Drugs Fund as a treatment option for adults who have had at least 3 previous treatments including lenalidomide and a proteosome inhibitor.

The technology

Teclistamab (brand name unknown, Janssen-Cilag) does not currently have a marketing authorisation in the UK for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma after 3 prior therapies. It has been studied in a single-arm clinical trial in people with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma who have had at least 3 prior therapies.

Intervention	Teclistamab
Population	People with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma after at least 3 prior therapies
Comparators	 Lenalidomide plus dexamethasone Panobinostat plus bortezomib and dexamethasone Pomalidomide plus dexamethasone Daratumumab monotherapy Ixazomib plus lenalidomide and dexamethasone (subject to NICE evaluation) Belantamab mafodotin (subject to NICE evaluation)
Outcomes	The outcome measures to be considered include:

Economic analysis The reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year. If the technology is likely to provide similar or greater health benefits at similar or lower cost than technologies recommended in published NICE technology appraisal guidance for the same indication, a cost comparison may be carried out. The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared. Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective. The availability of any commercial arrangements for the intervention, comparator and subsequent treatment technologies will be taken into account. The availability and cost of biosimilar and generic products should be taken into account. Other Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the considerations marketing authorisation. Where the wording of the therapeutic indication does not include specific treatment combinations, guidance will be issued only in the context of the evidence that has underpinned the marketing authorisation granted by the regulator. **Related NICE Related Technology Appraisals:** recommendations Daratumumab monotherapy for treating relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma (2022) NICE technology appraisal guidance 783. Review date 2025. Isatuximab with pomalidomide and dexamethasone for treating relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma (2020) NICE technology appraisal guidance 658. Review in progress. Lenalidomide for the treatment of multiple myeloma in people who have received at least 2 prior therapies (2019) NICE Technology appraisal guidance 171. Review date not stated. Ixazomib with lenalidomide and dexamethasone for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma (2018) NICE technology appraisal guidance 505. Review in progress. Pomalidomide for multiple myeloma previously treated with lenalidomide and bortezomib (2017) NICE Technology appraisal guidance 427. Review date not stated. Panobinostat for treating multiple myeloma after at least 2 previous treatments (2016) NICE Technology appraisal

Draft scope for the evaluation of teclistamab for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma after 3 therapies

guidance 380. Review date not stated.

Related appraisals in development:

Belantamab mafodotin for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma after 2 therapies NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID5108]. Publication date to be confirmed.

Belantamab mafodotin for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma after 4 or more therapies. NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID2701]. Publication expected June 2023.

<u>Carfilzomib with daratumumab and dexamethasone for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma.</u> NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID2709]. Publication date to be confirmed.

<u>Ciltacabtagene autoleucel for treating relapsed and lenalidomide-refractory multiple myeloma after 1 to 3 therapies</u> NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID4012]. Publication date to be confirmed.

Elranatamab for treating refractory multiple myeloma after 3 standard therapies. NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID4026]. Publication expected December 2023.

Idecabtagene vicleucel for treating relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma in people who have received at least 3 prior therapies. NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID1442]. Publication date to be confirmed.

Isatuximab with pomalidomide and dexamethasone for treating relapsed and refractory multiple myeloma [Review of TA658] NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID4067]. Publication date to be confirmed.

Ixazomib with lenalidomide and dexamethasone for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma (CDF review of TA505) NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID1635]. Publication date to be confirmed.

Selinexor with bortezomib and low-dose dexamethasone for treating relapsed refractory multiple myeloma NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID3797]. Publication date to be confirmed.

<u>Talquetamab for treating relapsed or refractory multiple</u> <u>myeloma after 3 therapies</u> NICE technology appraisal guidance [ID5082]. Publication date to be confirmed.

Related Guidelines:

Myeloma: diagnosis and management (2018) NICE guideline 35. Review date not stated.

'<u>Haematological cancers: improving outcomes</u>' (2016). NICE guidance 47. No current plans to review this guidance.

Related Quality Standards

	Haematological cancers (2017) NICE quality standard 150.
Related National Policy	The NHS Long Term Plan, 2019. NHS Long Term Plan NHS England (2018/2019) NHS manual for prescribed specialist services (2018/2019)
	NHS England (2020) Bendamustine for relapsed multiple myeloma (all ages). Clinical Commissioning Policy. Reference: 200604P

Questions for consultation

What treatments are established clinical practice in the NHS for people with relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma after 3 therapies?

Is bendamustine a relevant comparator for people with multiple myeloma after 3 therapies in the NHS?

Are there any subgroups of people in whom teclistamab is expected to be more clinically effective and cost effective or other groups that should be examined separately?

Where do you consider teclistamab will fit into the existing care pathway for multiple myeloma?

Would teclistamab be a candidate for managed access?

Do you consider that the use of teclistamab can result in any potential substantial health-related benefits that are unlikely to be included in the QALY calculation?

Please identify the nature of the data which you understand to be available to enable the committee to take account of these benefits. NICE is committed to promoting equality of opportunity, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people with particular protected characteristics and others. Please let us know if you think that the proposed remit and scope may need changing in order to meet these aims. In particular, please tell us if the proposed remit and scope:

- could exclude from full consideration any people protected by the equality legislation who fall within the patient population for which teclistamab will be licensed:
- could lead to recommendations that have a different impact on people protected by the equality legislation than on the wider population, e.g. by making it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology;
- could have any adverse impact on people with a particular disability or disabilities.

Please tell us what evidence should be obtained to enable the committee to identify and consider such impacts.

NICE intends to evaluate this technology through its Single Technology Appraisal process. We welcome comments on the appropriateness of appraising this topic through this process. (Information on NICE's health technology evaluation processes is available at https://www.nice.org.uk/about/what-we-do/our-programmes/nice-

Draft scope for the evaluation of teclistamab for treating relapsed or refractory multiple myeloma after 3 therapies

guidance/nice-technology-appraisal-guidance/changes-to-health-technology-evaluation).

NICE's <u>health technology evaluations: the manual</u> states the methods to be used where a cost comparison case is made.

- Would it be appropriate to use the cost-comparison methodology for this topic?
- Is the new technology likely to be similar in its clinical efficacy and resource use to any of the comparators?
- Is the primary outcome that was measured in the trial or used to drive the model for the comparator(s) still clinically relevant?
- Is there any substantial new evidence for the comparator technology/ies that has not been considered? Are there any important ongoing trials reporting in the next year?

References

- 1. Cancer Research UK . Myeloma incidence statistics. Accessed 17 October 2022.
- 2. United Kingdom Fact sheet, <u>International Agency for Research on Cancer</u>. Accessed 17 October 2022.
- 3. Cancer Research UK. Myeloma survival statistics. Accessed 17 October 2022.
- 4. Cancer Research UK. Myeloma statistics. Accessed 17 October 2022.