NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Axicabtagene ciloleucel for treating diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, mediastinal Bcell lymphoma and follicular lymphoma ID1115

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Company	General
Kite, a Gilead company (axicabtagene ciloleucel)	 Allied Health Professionals Federation Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
Patient/carer groups	British National Formulary
African Caribbean Leukaemia Trust	Care Quality Commission
(ACLT)	Department of Health, Social Services
Anthony Nolan	and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Black Health Agency	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Bloodwise	Medicines and Healthcare Products
Cancer Black Care	Regulatory Agency
Cancer Equality	National Association for Primary Care
Cancer52DKMS	 National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance
HAWC	 NHS Alliance NHS Commercial Medicines Unit
 Helen Rollason Cancer Charity 	 NHS Confideration
 Independent Cancer Patients Voice 	 Scottish Medicines Consortium
 Leukaemia Cancer Society 	 Welsh Health Specialised Services
Leukaemia CARE	Committee
Lymphoma Association	
Macmillan Cancer Support	Comparator Companies
Maggie's Centres	 Accord healthcare (cytarabine,
Marie Curie	doxorubicin, etoposide)
Muslim Council of Britain	Actavis (etoposide)
South Asian Health Foundation	Aspen (melphalan)
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	Baxter healthcare (cyclophosphamide) Bristel Muare Cruibb (stangeide)
Tenovus Cancer Care	Bristol-Myers Squibb (etoposide)
	Celltrion Healthcare (Truxima) CTL life aciences (niventrone)
Professional groups	 CTI life sciences (pixantrone) Eisai (carmustine)
 Association of Cancer Physicians British Committee for Standards in 	 Elsa (carnustine) Hospiria UK (cytarabine, vincristine)
British Committee for Standards in Haematology	 Janssen-Cilag (doxorubicin)
 British Geriatrics Society 	 Medac GmbH (doxorubicin)
 British Institute of Radiology 	 Napp pharmaceuticals (rituximab,
 British Psychosocial Oncology Society 	cytarabine)

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Final matrix for technology appraisal of axicabtagene ciloleucel for treating diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, mediastinal B-cell lymphoma and follicular lymphoma ID1115

 Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiology 	tators (no right to submit or
 UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society Leuka Leuka Leuka Lymph MRC NHS Basildon and Brentwood CCG NHS Cambridgeshire and Peterborough CCG NHS England Welsh Government Leuka Leuka Leuka Leuka Leuka Leuka Leuka Leuka Leuka Lymph MRC Nation Nation Nation 	te of Cancer Research

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for technology appraisal of axicabtagene ciloleucel for treating diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, mediastinal B-cell lymphoma and follicular lymphoma ID1115

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

¹ Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.

Final matrix for technology appraisal of axicabtagene ciloleucel for treating diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, mediastinal B-cell lymphoma and follicular lymphoma ID1115