NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal

Pembrolizumab for previously treated solid tumours with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency [ID4036]

Final Scope

Remit/evaluation objective

To appraise the clinical and cost effectiveness of pembrolizumab within its marketing authorisation for treating solid tumours with high microsatellite instability (MSI-H) or mismatch repair deficiency (dMMR).

Background

Solid tumours are abnormal localised masses of tissue. They can be cancerous (malignant) or not cancerous (benign) and are classified according to the type of cells that form them. The two major types of cancerous solid tumours are sarcomas and carcinomas. Sarcomas are developed from cells of muscles, bone or fat tissue and carcinomas start from the epithelial cells in the skin or tissues that line or cover internal organs. Advanced solid tumours can be locally advanced (tumour that has spread to surrounding tissues or lymph nodes but has not yet spread to other parts of the body) or metastatic (tumour that has spread to other parts of the body).

Mismatch repair (MMR) is a process where cells of the body recognise and repair nucleotide mismatches or insertion of excess DNA during replication of the DNA as cells divide. Deficiencies in MMR (dMMR) are associated with genomic instability and the accumulation of simple repetitive DNA sequences, which are known as microsatellites (MSI).¹ The accumulation of numerous MSI is classified as an MSI high (MSI-H) phenotype and, if these MSI affect regions of the genome associated with apoptosis (programmed cell death) and cell growth, it can result in the development of tumours.

The presence of dMMR and MSI-H phenotypes vary between cancer types but one estimate from a global systematic review and meta-analysis found 15% MSI-H prevalence across 25 tumour types and 16% dMMR prevalence across 13 tumour types.¹ MSI-H is reported to be most commonly associated with colorectal, endometrial, oesophageal, renal and certain gastric cancers, such as cancers of the, biliary tract and pancreas.^{1,2}

In 2019, there were 327,174 new cases of cancer recorded in England and 137,096 deaths from cancer.³ Of these cases, 78,868 were colorectal, endometrial, oesophageal, renal, gastric, intestinal, biliary or pancreatic cancers, making up 24.1% of diagnoses in 2019. These cancers also made up 18% (37,836) of cancer deaths in 2019.³

Treatment options

Nivolumab with ipilimumab (TA716) and pembrolizumab (TA709) are treatment options that target colorectal cancers with MSI-H and dMMR. The following second-line treatment options (see NICE <u>TA405</u>) are recommended for all colorectal cancers:

- single-agent irinotecan (after folinic acid plus fluorouracil plus oxaliplatin (FOLFOX))
- folinic acid plus fluorouracil plus irinotecan (FOLFIRI) (after either FOLFOX or capecitabine plus oxaliplatin) [CAPOX]
- raltitrexed (for patients with advanced colorectal cancer who are intolerant to 5-fluorouracil and folinic acid, or for whom these drugs are not suitable
- trifluridine-tipiracil (if fluoropyrimidine-, oxaliplatin- or irinotecan-based chemotherapies, anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) agents and anti-EGFR agents have failed or when these therapies are not suitable).

Dostarlimab (TA779) is a treatment option that is available through the Cancer Drugs Fund for treating advanced or recurrent endometrial cancer with MSI-H and dMMR in adults who have had platinum-based chemotherapy^a.

There are currently no treatment options available which broadly target a range of MSI-H and dMMR classified solid tumours. Current treatments for different solid tumour cancers generally include surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, hormone therapy, immunotherapy, small molecule inhibitor treatments, or a combination of these treatments.

The technology

Pembrolizumab has a marketing authorisation for the treatment of the following MSI-H or dMMR cancers in adults:

- for unresectable or metastatic colorectal cancer after fluoropyrimidine-based combination therapy;
- for advanced or recurrent endometrial cancer whose disease has progressed on or following treatment with a platinum-containing therapy and are not suitable for curative surgery or radiation; and
- for unresectable or metastatic gastric, small intestine, or biliary cancer, whose disease has progressed on or following at least one prior therapy.

^a Technologies that NICE has recommended with managed access are not considered established practice in the NHS and are not considered suitable comparators. <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg36/resources/nice-health-technology-evaluations-the-manual-pdf-72286779244741</u>

Final scope for the appraisal of pembrolizumab for previously treated solid tumours with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency [ID4036] Issue date: November 2022 Page 2 of 6 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2022. All rights reserved

Intervention(s)	Pembrolizumab
Population(s)	Adults with unresectable or metastatic MSI-H or dMMR colorectal cancer previously treated with fluoropyrimidine-based combination therapy.
	Adults with advanced or recurrent MSI-H or dMMR endometrial cancer, whose disease has progressed on or following treatment with a platinum-containing therapy and who are not candidates for curative surgery or radiation.
	Adults with unresectable or metastatic MSI-H or dMMR gastric, small intestine, or biliary cancer, whose disease has progressed on or following at least one prior therapy.
Subgroups	If the evidence allows the following subgroups will be considered:
	tumour site
	previous therapy

Comparators	For people with previously treated MSI-H or dMMR with unresectable or metastatic colorectal cancer:
	 Established management without pembrolizumab
	Nivolumab with ipilimumab
	 Single-agent irinotecan (after FOLFOX)
	 FOLFIRI (after either FOLFOX or CAPOX)
	 Raltitrexed (if 5-fluorouracil and folinic acid are not suitable)
	Trifluridine-tipiracil
	For people with previously treated MSI-H or dMMR with advanced or recurrent endometrial cancer:
	 Established management without pembrolizumab
	Chemotherapy, including:
	- Carboplatin and paclitaxel
	- Paclitaxel monotherapy
	- Doxorubicin monotherapy
	- Carboplatin monotherapy
	 Hormone therapy (such as medroxyprogesterone acetate and megestrol)
	For people with previously treated MSI-H or dMMR with unresectable or metastatic gastric, small intestine, or biliary cancer
	 Established management without pembrolizumab
Outcomes	The outcome measures to be considered include:
	overall survival
	progression free survival
	response rate
	duration of response
	adverse effects of treatment
	 health-related quality of life

Economic analysis	The reference case stipulates that the cost effectiveness of treatments should be expressed in terms of incremental cost per quality-adjusted life year.
	The reference case stipulates that the time horizon for estimating clinical and cost effectiveness should be sufficiently long to reflect any differences in costs or outcomes between the technologies being compared.
	Costs will be considered from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.
	The availability of any commercial arrangements for the intervention, comparator and subsequent treatment technologies will be taken into account.
	The availability and cost of biosimilar and generic products should be taken into account.
	The use of pembrolizumab for this indication is conditional on the presence of either MSI-H or dMMR classified tumours. The economic modelling should include the costs associated with diagnostic testing for MSI-H or dMMR in people with solid tumours who would not otherwise have been tested. A sensitivity analysis should be provided without the cost of the diagnostic test. See section 4.8 of the guidance development manual (available here: <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/process/pmg36/chapter/introduction- to-health-technology-evaluation</u>).
Other considerations	Guidance will only be issued in accordance with the marketing authorisation. Where the wording of the therapeutic indication does not include specific treatment combinations, guidance will be issued only in the context of the evidence that has underpinned the marketing authorisation granted by the regulator.
Related NICE	Related Technology Appraisals:
recommendations and NICE Pathways	' <u>Nivolumab with ipilimumab for previously treated metastatic</u> <u>colorectal cancer with high microsatellite instability or</u> <u>mismatch repair</u> ' (2021) NICE Technology Appraisal 716. Review date 2024
	<u>'Trifluridine-tipiracil for previously treated metastatic</u> <u>colorectal cancer</u> ' (2016) NICE Technology Appraisal 405. Review date to be confirmed.
	'Aflibercept in combination with irinotecan and fluorouracil- based therapy for treating metastatic colorectal cancer that has progressed following prior oxaliplatin-based chemotherapy' (2014, Reviewed 2016) NICE Technology Appraisal 307. Review date to be confirmed
	<u>'Cetuximab, bevacizumab and panitumumab for the treatment</u> of metastatic colorectal cancer after first-line chemotherapy: Cetuximab (monotherapy or combination chemotherapy),

Final scope for the appraisal of pembrolizumab for previously treated solid tumours with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency [ID4036] Issue date: November 2022 Page 5 of 6 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2022. All rights reserved

	bevacizumab (in combination with non-oxaliplatin chemotherapy) and panitumumab (monotherapy) for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer after first-line chemotherapy' (2012, Reviewed 2015) NICE Technology Appraisal 242. Review date to be confirmed.
	<u>'Encorafenib plus cetuximab for previously treated BRAF</u> <u>V600E mutation-positive metastatic colorectal cancer</u> ' (2021) NICE Technology Appraisal 688.Review date 2023
	Dostarlimab for previously treated advanced or recurrent endometrial cancer with high microsatellite instability or mismatch repair deficiency' (2022) NICE Technology Appraisal 779. Review date to be confirmed.
	Related Guidelines:
	<u>Suspected cancer: recognition and referral</u> (2015; updated 2021) NICE guideline 12. Review date to be confirmed.
	 <u>Molecular testing strategies for Lynch syndrome in people</u> <u>with colorectal cancer</u>' (2017) Diagnostics Guidance 27. Review date to be confirmed
	' <u>Testing strategies for Lynch syndrome in people with</u> <u>endometrial cancer</u> ' (2020) Diagnostics guidance 42. Review date to be confirmed
	Related Quality Standards:
	'Suspected cancer' (2017) NICE quality standard 124.
	' <u>Sarcoma'</u> (2015) NICE quality standard 78
Related National Policy	The NHS Long Term Plan, 2019. <u>NHS Long Term Plan</u>
	NHS England (2018/2019) <u>NHS manual for prescribed</u> specialist services (2018/2019) – Chapter 105
	Department of Health and Social Care, NHS Outcomes Framework 2016-2017: Domains 1-5.

References

- 1. Lorenzi M, Amonkar M, Zhang J et al. <u>Epidemiology of Microsatellite</u> <u>Instability High (MSI-H) and Deficient Mismatch Repair (dMMR) in Solid</u> <u>Tumours: A Structured Literature Review</u>. Journal of Oncology (2020)
- 2. Bateman A. <u>DNA mismatch repair proteins: scientific update and practical</u> <u>guide</u>. Journal of Clinical Pathology. (2021)
- 3. NHS Digital. <u>Cancer Registration Statistics, England 2019</u>. (2021) Accessed, December 2021