NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Proposed Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Ruxolitinib for treating polycythaemia vera that is resistant or intolerant to hydroxycarbamide [ID734]

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
Manufacturers/sponsors	General
Novartis (ruxolitinib)	Allied Health Professionals Federation
	 Board of Community Health Councils in
Patient/carer groups	Wales
Afiya Trust	British National Formulary
Black Health Agency Equalities National Council	Care Quality Commission Department of Legith, Serial Serials
Equalities National CouncilGenetic Alliance UK	 Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland
Leukaemia Cancer Society	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
Leukaemia CARE	Medicines and Healthcare products
Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research	Regulatory Agency
MPN Voice	 National Association of Primary Care
Muslim Council of Britain	National Pharmacy Association
Muslim Health Network	NHS Alliance
South Asian Health Foundation Specialized Health Foundation	NHS Commercial Medicines Unit NHS Confederation
Specialised Healthcare Alliance	NHS ConfederationScottish Medicines Consortium
Professional groups	Goottish Medicines Consortium
British Committee for Standards in	Possible comparator manufacturers
Haematology	 Aspen Pharma Trading (busulfan,
British Geriatrics Society	melphalan)
British Society for Haematology	Bristol-Myers Squibb (bydrayy garbamida)
Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing	(hydroxycarbamide)medac (hydroxycarbamide)
Royal College of NursingRoyal College of Pathologists	Merck Sharp & Dohme (peginterferon)
 Royal Pharamceutical Society 	alfa-2b)
Royal College of Physicians	Roche (peginterferon alfa-2a,
Royal Society of Medicine	peginterferon alfa-2b)
UK Forum on Haemoglobin Disorders	Shire (anagrelide)
UK Clinical Pharmacy Association	Relevant research groups
UK National Screening Committee	 Cochrane Haematological Malignancies
Others	Group
OthersDepartment of Health	Health Research Authority
NHS Airedale, Wharfedale and	MRC Clinical Trials Unit

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Provisional matrix for the proposed technology appraisal of

Ruxolitinib for treating polycythaemia vera that is resistant or intolerant to hydroxycarbamide [ID734]

Issue date: August 2014

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
Craven CCG NHS Bradford Districts CCG NHS England Welsh Government	 National Institute for Health Research Evidence Review Group Evidence Review Group tbc National Institute for Health Research Health Technology Assessment Programme Associated Guideline Groups National Clinical Guidelines Centre Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The manufacturer/sponsor of the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-manufacturer/sponsor consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the manufacturer/sponsor evidence submission to the Institute.

-

¹ Non manufacturer consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.