NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Appraisal

Pembrolizumab with chemotherapy for treating recurrent, persistent or metastatic cervical cancer ID3798

Provisional stakeholder list

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or
	appeal)
 Company Merck Sharp & Dohme (pembrolizumab) Patient/carer groups Black Health Agency Cancer Black Care Cancer Equality Cancer 52 Eve Appeal Helen Rollason Cancer Charity Independent Cancer Patients Voice Jo's Cervical Cancer Trust Macmillan Cancer Support Maggie's Centres Marie Curie 	 General Allied Health Professionals Federation All Wales Therapeutics and Toxicology Centre Board of Community Health Councils in Wales British National Formulary Care Quality Commission Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland Healthcare Improvement Scotland Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency National Association of Primary Care National Pharmacy Association NHS Alliance
South Asian Health FoundationSpecialised Healthcare AllianceTenovus Cancer Care	 NHS Confederation Scottish Medicines Consortium Welsh Health Specialised Services Committee
Professional groups	
 Association of Cancer Physicians Association of Surgeons of Great Britain and Ireland British Association of Surgical Oncology British Geriatrics Society British Gynaecological Cancer Society British Institute of Radiology British Psychosocial Oncology Society British Society for Clinical Cytology British Society for Colposcopy and Cervical Pathology Cancer Research UK National Forum of Gynaecological Oncology Nurses 	 Possible comparator companies Accord Healthcare (carboplatin, cisplatin, etoposide, paclitaxel, topotecan) Accord-UK (paclitaxel, topotecan) Celgene (paclitaxel) Cheplapharm Arzneimittel (etoposide) Consilient Health (carboplatin) Hospira UK (carboplatin, cisplatin, paclitaxel) medac GmbH (etoposide) Novartis Pharmaceuticals (topotecan) Pfizer (topotecan) Seacross Pharmaceuticals (paclitaxel)

Provisional stakeholder list for the technology appraisal of pembrolizumab with chemotherapy for treating recurrent, persistent or metastatic cervical cancer ID3798

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
 Royal College of Anaesthetists Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Obstetricians & Gynaecologists Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Surgeons Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Oncology Nursing Society 	 Relevant research groups Cochrane Gynaecological Cancer Group Genomics England Institute of Cancer Research MRC Clinical Trials Unit National Cancer Research Institute National Cancer Research Network National Institute for Health Research Associated Public Health Groups Public Health England Public Health Wales
Others Department of Health and Social Care NHS Birmingham CrossCity CCG NHS England NHS Harrow CCG Welsh Government	

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the stakeholder list, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

Definitions:

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that markets the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and Social Care and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that markets the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Issue date: October 2020 © National Institute for Health and Care Excellence 2020

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Document (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: companies that market comparator technologies; Healthcare Improvement Scotland; other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance, and the British National Formulary.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.