# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

### HEALTH TECHNOLOGY APPRAISAL PROGRAMME

## Equality impact assessment - Guidance development

# STA Loncastuximab tesirine for treating relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and high-grade B-cell lymphoma after 2 or more systemic treatments

The impact on equality has been assessed during this appraisal according to the principles of the NICE equality scheme.

#### Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the scoping process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

No potential equality issues were identified during the scoping process.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the submissions, expert statements or academic report, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No potential equality issues were identified.

3. Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No other issues were raised by the committee.

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other

Technology appraisals: Guidance development

groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

The recommendations will not lead to access difficulties for specific groups of people.

5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

The recommendations are unlikely to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities.

6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

None.

7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the draft guidance, and, if so, where?

Equality issues are addressed in section 3.17 of the guidance document. No equality issues were identified.

Approved by Associate Director (name): Ross Dent

Date: 21/09/2023

### Final draft guidance

(when draft guidance issued)

1. Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No potential equality issues were raised during the consultation.

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access the technology compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

No

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

No

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final draft guidance, and, if so, where?

Not applicable

Approved by Associate Director (name): Ross Dent

Date: 24/11/2023