## **Health Technology Evaluation**

Loncastuximab tesirine for treating relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and high-grade B-cell lymphoma after 2 or more systemic therapies

# Response to stakeholder organisation comments on the draft remit and draft scope

**Please note:** Comments received in the course of consultations carried out by NICE are published in the interests of openness and transparency, and to promote understanding of how recommendations are developed. The comments are published as a record of the submissions that NICE has received, and are not endorsed by NICE, its officers or advisory committees.

## Comment 1: the draft remit and proposed process

| Section  | Stakeholder          | Comments [sic]  | Action  |
|--|----------------------|---|---|
| Appropriateness of an evaluation and proposed evaluation route | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR | The single technology clinical and cost effectiveness appraisal of Loncastuximab tesirine for treating relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma after 2 or more systemic therapies is highly appropriate. | Thank you for your comment. No action needed. |
|  | Sobi                 | Sobi agrees with the decision to evaluate loncastuximab tesirine via the single technology appraisal process.   | Thank you for your comment. No action needed. |
|  |                      |   |   |
|  |                      |   |   |
|  |                      |   |   |

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

| Section       | Stakeholder          | Comments [sic]  | Action  |
|---------------|----------------------|---|---|
| Wording       | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR | Yes   | No action needed                              |
|               | Sobi                 | The UK marketing authorisation indication is expected to be:  Zynlonta as monotherapy is indicated for the treatment of adult patients with relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBL), after two or more lines of systemic therapy.  Therefore, Sobi suggests that the draft remit is altered to:  To appraise the clinical and cost effectiveness of loncastuximab tesirine as monotherapy for treating relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and high-grade B-cell lymphoma after 2 or more systemic therapies. | Thank you, the remit has been amended.        |
| Timing issues | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR | Relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma is a difficult disease to treat as patients often need urgent treatment and having failed R-CHOP have already demonstrated some resistance to chemotherapy. There are a number of treatment options but these are not suitable for all patients and the failure rate with these treatments is also significant and often further lines of therapy are required. Loncastuximab tesirine would offer an alternative/additional treatment option so evaluation within 12 months would be ideal.   | Thank you for your comment. No action needed. |
|               | Sobi                 | There is a need to evaluate loncastuximab tesirine in a timely manner. The difficult-to-treat patient population with relapsed or refractory disease can  | Thank you for your comment. No action         |

Page 2 of 10

| Section | Stakeholder | Comments [sic]  | Action  |
|---------|-------------|---|---------|
|         |             | reach a therapeutic impasse. These patients tend to have poor quality of life and overall survival rates. Therefore, there is a need for an additional and effective treatment option for diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) and high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBL).  | needed. |
|         |             | Sobi believes that loncastuximab tesirine addresses a critical unmet need, especially for treating patient populations that are not responding to current treatments, ineligible for chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy, or older and frailer. Loncastuximab tesirine may be a suitable treatment option for these patients given that it is administered as a monotherapy (not in combination with chemotherapy), has a quick onset of action and a lower incidence of adverse events as demonstrated in the pivotal trial, LOTIS-2. |         |
|         |             |   |         |
|         |             |   |         |
|         |             |   |         |

# Comment 2: the draft scope

| Section                | Consultee/<br>Commentator | Comments [sic]  | Action  |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|
| Background information | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR      | Information is correct and complete                                     | Thank you for your comment.                           |
|                        | Sobi                      | Sobi agrees in general with the accuracy of the background information. | Thank you for your comment. The background section of |

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Page 3 of 10

| Section    | Consultee/<br>Commentator | Comments [sic]   | Action   |
|------------|---------------------------|--|--|
|            |                           | However, we would like to highlight that the recent NICE TA scope for ID3970 includes information on later line prevalence:  "Although most patients are cured with first-line chemotherapy, about 10-15% have primary refractory disease and a further 20-30% relapse."  NICE could consider also adding this information to the final scope of this appraisal. | the scope is intended to give a brief overview of the condition and treatment pathway. Additional prevalence information and information on high-grade lymphomas has been included |
|            |                           | We would also like to request that additional information is provided on the clinical background and prevalence associated with high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBL).   |  |
|            |                           |  |  |
|            |                           |  |  |
|            |                           |  |  |
| Population | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR      | Yes  | No action needed.  |
|            | Sobi                      | As previously mentioned, Sobi expects the indication for loncastuximab tesirine to be broader than stated in the draft scope's population.  Therefore, we suggest that the population is altered to 'adults with relapsed or   | Thank you for your comment. The population has been amended to account for this.   |
|            |                           | refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) or high-grade B-cell lymphoma (HGBL) who have had two or more systemic therapies'.  |  |

Page 4 of 10

| Section     | Consultee/<br>Commentator | Comments [sic]   | Action  |
|-------------|---------------------------|--|---|
|             |                           |  |   |
|             |                           |  |   |
|             |                           |  |   |
| Subgroups   | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR      | Significant research into the molecular understanding of DLBCL is ongoing but it is not possible to identify at this time, subgroups that would preferentially benefit from this treatment. Therefore this should be made available to all patients with relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma after 2 or more systemic therapies | Thank you for your comment. No action needed.                                 |
|             | Sobi                      | Sobi does not believe that there are definable subgroups that could be considered separately.  | Thank you for your comment. No action needed.                                 |
|             |                           |  |   |
|             |                           |  |   |
|             |                           |  |   |
| Comparators | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR      | Yes, the list is complete.   | Thank you for your comment. No action needed.                                 |
|             | Gilead                    | The chemotherapy regimens should be in combination with rituximab  | Thank you for your comment. "With or without rituximab" has been added to the |

Page 5 of 10

| Section | Consultee/<br>Commentator | Comments [sic]  | Action   |
|---------|---------------------------|---|--|
|         |                           |   | scope to cover instances in which non-rituximab regimens may be used.  |
|         | Sobi                      | We agree that polatuzumab vedotin with rituximab and bendamustine is a standard treatment for treating relapsed or refractory diffuse large B-cell lymphoma after 2 or more systemic therapies. It does not have specific market authorisation or NICE recommendation for high-grade B-cell lymphoma.   | The comparator of polatuzumab vedotin with rituximab and bendamustine has been amended to specify that this only be used in DLBCL. |
|         |                           | We agree that salvage chemotherapy is established practice in the NHS, particularly second line in the treatment pathway. Use in third line, as per the remit of this appraisal, is far less common.  There are a range of chemotherapy regimens used in the NHS. Therefore, we   | With or without rituximab" has been added to the scope. R-GEMOX and BR Have been added to the scope.                               |
|         |                           | agree with the language used that emphasises a non-exclusive list of chemotherapy options. However, we would like to highlight that the scope could detail that some regimens include the addition of the anti–CD20 monoclonal antibody rituximab. Further, we understand that R-GEMOX (rituximab + gemcitabine + oxaliplatin) and BR (bendamustine and rituximab) are common third line treatment options. | Pixantrone is used for some patients so it is considered a relevant comparator. The appraisal committee will discuss the most      |
|         |                           | Sobi does not agree that pixantrone should be a relevant comparator in the appraisal and ask for it to be removed in the final scope. We note that within the same therapeutic area, the appraisals TA559, TA567, TA649 and TA10645 removed pixantrone as a comparator through the committee process. The respective committees were informed by clinical experts that                                      | appropriate comparator<br>during the development<br>of this appraisal. This<br>will depend on the final                            |

Page 6 of 10

| Section  | Consultee/<br>Commentator | Comments [sic]   | Action   |
|----------|---------------------------|--|--|
|          |                           | pixantrone is rarely used in the UK; therefore, they concluded in each case that it was not a relevant comparator.  Axicabtagene ciloleucel has not received a positive NICE recommendation within this indication at the time of writing. We do not believe it is an appropriate comparator given this reason, but also because we do not believe clinicians would use loncastuximab tesirine as an alternative to axicabtagene ciloleucel (if available), a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cell therapy. Axicabtagene ciloleucel is a treatment that has been shown to be clinically effective and 'cure' a proportion of patients. We therefore understand that clinicians would consider treating patients with this CAR-T (if available) ahead of loncastuximab tesirine in the treatment pathway. In these patients, should they be ineligible or refractory to axicabtagene ciloleucel, loncastuximab tesirine would be a considered treatment option. | marketing authorisation, the current treatment pathway, the clinical and cost-effectiveness evidence and current clinical practice Axicabtagene ciloleucel is included a comparator subject to NICE evaluation. This will not be considered a relevant comparator if axicabtagene is not recommended in routine practice. If it is recommended, the committee will consider the company's rationale for why it is not a relevant comparator. |
| Outcomes | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR      | Yes, the five listed outcome measures will capture the benefit and harm of the intervention  | No action needed.  |

Page 7 of 10

| Section              | Consultee/<br>Commentator | Comments [sic]   | Action            |
|----------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------|
|                      | Sobi                      | Sobi view the outcomes listed as appropriate   | No action needed. |
|                      |                           |  |                   |
| Equality             | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR      | Equality of opportunity demonstrated.  No evidence of discrimination   | No action needed. |
|                      | Sobi                      | Sobi supports the promotion of equality of opportunity. We are unaware of any facets of this appraisal that might impact equality. | No action needed. |
|                      |                           |  |                   |
| Other considerations | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR      | None   | No action needed. |
|                      |                           |  |                   |
|                      |                           |  |                   |

Page 8 of 10

| Section                    | Consultee/<br>Commentator | Comments [sic]   | Action            |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------|
|                            |                           |  |                   |
| Questions for consultation | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR      | Please see below   | No action needed. |
| Conditation                | Sobi                      | The main data source used by the company will be from LOTIS-2, a Phase 2, multi-centre open-label, single-arm study of the efficacy and safety of loncastuximab tesirine.  | No action needed. |
|                            |                           | As LOTIS-2 is a single arm trial, outcomes data for comparators will be taken from the company's systematic literature reviews and matching-adjusted indirect comparisons.   |                   |
|                            |                           | The company does not expect that loncastuximab tesirine will require managed access. 145 patients were enrolled in LOTIS-2, which represents a significant number for a patient population (third line) that is small. Therefore, further evidence via managed access may not be required to resolve any uncertainty. However, the company will remain open to options proposed by NICE. |                   |
|                            |                           |  |                   |
| Additional comments on the | NCRI-ACP-<br>RCP-RCR      | Would loncastuximab tesirine be a candidate for managed access? Yes  Do you consider that the use of loncastuximab tesirine can result in any  | No action needed. |

Page 9 of 10

| Section     | Consultee/<br>Commentator | Comments [sic]   | Action  |
|-------------|---------------------------|--|---|
| draft scope |                           | potential substantial health-related benefits that are unlikely to be included in the QALY calculation? No   |   |
|             | Sobi                      | Under the heading 'technology', we can provide additional information:  Loncastuximab tesirine (Zynlonta, Swedish Orphan Biovitrum) is a humanized IgGk CD19-monoclonal antibody+ tesirine/SG3249 (a PBD dimer). It is administered intravenously. | Thank you for your comment. NICE scopes no longer contain information on mechanism of action. |
|             |                           |  |   |
|             |                           |  |   |
|             |                           |  |   |

The following stakeholders indicated that they had no comments on the draft remit and/or the draft scope

Lymphoma action