

**National Institute for Health & Clinical Excellence****Food Allergy in Children****First Guideline Development Group (GDG) meeting**Tuesday 23<sup>rd</sup> & Wednesday 24<sup>th</sup> March 2010

Level 1A, City Tower, Piccadilly Plaza, Manchester, M1 4BD

**GROUP MEMBERSHIP**

<b>In Attendance</b>	
<b>GDG Members</b>	
Trevor Brown	Sue Clarke
Mandy East	Adam Fox
Peter MacFarlane	Amanda Roberts
Lisa Waddell	Joanne Walsh
<b>NICE Staff</b>	
Kathryn Chamberlain (KC)	Emilene Coventry (EC)
Nicole Elliott (NE)	Hanna Lewin (HL)
Barbara Meredith (BM)	Alfred Sackeyfio (AS)
Abi Senthinathan (ASe)	Claire Turner (CK)
Sarah Chalmers (SC) (observer)	
<b>Apologies:</b>	
Paula Beattie	Carina Venter

	<b>Action list</b>	<b>Owner</b>
1	Check that we are able to remove the words 'food intolerance' from section 3.1a of the scope	CT
2		

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING**Tuesday 23<sup>rd</sup> March 2010**1.1 Agenda item 1: Introductions & GDG working**

PB welcomed the group and all GDG members and NICE staff introduced themselves. Apologies for were received from Carina Venter and Paula Beattie.

PB gave a presentation of GDG working including his role as the chair of the group.

**1.2 Agenda item 2:**

- **Process of guideline development:** AS gave a presentation on clinical guidelines and process development, indicating how the process with work for this guideline.
- **Literature searching:** HL gave a presentation on literature searching.
- **Role of the Guidelines Commissioning Manager (GCM):** CT discussed the role of the GCM

- **PIIP:** BM gave the presentation on the patient and public involvement programme.
- **Role of the editor:** EC gave her presentation on the role of the editor.
- **Health Economics:** EM gave a presentation on health economics. EM will talk about the health economics specific to this guideline at a later stage in the meeting.

**1.3 Agenda item 3: NICE - Overview/SCG and DoI**

NE gave the GDG an overview of NICE, the work of the centre for clinical practice, and the short clinical guidelines team and declarations of interest (DoI). Declarations of interest were noted.

**1.4 Agenda item 4: Summary of the scope**

ASe presented a summary of the scope. It was suggested that 1 change was made to the scope and that in section 3.1a we remove the words 'food intolerance'. This was discussed with the GCM, who would check whether CCP were happy to do so.

**1.5 Agenda item 5: An introduction to GRADE**

AS gave a presentation on GRADE. Explaining to the group what GRADE is and how it will be used for the evidence in this guideline.

**1.6 Agenda item 6 & 7: Presenting and discussing the clinical questions**

The group discussed the clinical questions that were to be addressed in the 2 day meeting. There was a question as to the upper age limit that this guideline would address. For this guideline, NICE will use up to the age of 19 years old for the definition of children and young people.

**1.7 Agenda item 8: Health Economics**

PK discussed the health economics with specific reference to this guideline and the group considered the health economics to be included in the guideline. He will give an update at the next GDG meeting

**1.8 Agenda item 9: Summary of Day**

PB closed the meeting.

Wednesday 24<sup>th</sup> March 2010

**1.9 Agenda item 1: Review of Day 1**

PB opened the meeting with a brief summary of the previous days discussions, and discussed the plan for the day.

**1.10 Agenda item 2 & 3: Evidence presented/discussion of the evidence/agreeing the evidence statements (allergy focussed clinical history)**

AS went through the evidence and review question 1 (allergy focussed clinical history) and the GDG went on to discuss the studies included and the associated GRADE tables. There was little evidence for taking an allergy focussed clinical history. The GDG suggested some studies which may assist for the history taking. The GDG discussed the evidence then agreed the evidence statements relating to the evidence reviewed.

**1.11 Agenda item 4: Draft recommendations**

The GDG made a number of recommendations based on the evidence reviewed to date.

They were reassured that this was the first draft and would be seen by the editor, but also the GDG will have time at future meetings to review these recommendations and amend them prior to public consultation.

**1.12 Agenda item 5 & 6: Evidence presented/discussion of the evidence/agreeing the evidence statements (diagnostic tools & strategy to diagnose non-IgE mediated and mixed IgE mediated food allergy)**

ASe went through the evidence and review question 2 (diagnostic tools & strategy to diagnose non-IgE mediated and mixed IgE mediated food allergy) and the GDG went on to discuss the studies included and the associated GRADE tables.

**1.13 Agenda Item 7: Draft recommendations**

The GDG made a number of recommendations based on the evidence reviewed to date.

**1.14 Agenda Item 8: Any other business**

The next meeting will be held in the NICE London office, on Friday 30th April 2010.

PB closed the meeting