APPENDIX 17: ECONOMIC EVIDENCE PROFILES

1.1 Service models

| Assertive | Assertive community treatment versus standard case management | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Study and country | Limitations | Applicability | Other comments | Incremental cost (£) | Incremental effect (QALYs) | ICER (£/QALY) | Uncertainty | | | |
| Clark and colleagues (1998) US | Minor limitations ¹ | Partially applicable ² | Authors computed ratios of cumulative quality of life years to total costs rather than ICER. Average quality of life ratios per \$10,000 in societal costs were 0.24 (ACT) and 0.20 (SCM). It was not possible to calculate incremental effects or ICER based on the data presented | £6,293³ | NA | NA | One-way sensitivity analysis: imputed data for informal care-giving costs and legal costs – did not significantly affect base case results | | | |

Appendix 17

¹ Based on a single US study (limited generalisability); one-way sensitivity analyses conducted.

² US study; societal perspective (includes costs of legal and community services); health effects measured using subjective quality of life years and not QALYs.

³ Converted from 1995/96 US dollars using a purchasing power parity (www.oecd.org/std/ppp) exchange-rate of 0.641, then inflated to 2008/09 prices using hospital and community health services indices (Curtis, 2009).

| Case mana | Case management training programme versus waiting list control | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Study and | Limitations | Applicability | Other comments | Incremental | Incremental | ICER | Uncertainty | | | |
| country | | | | cost (£) | effect | (£/QALY) | | | | |
| | | | | | (QALYs) | | | | | |
| Craig and | Minor | Partially | This study is a partial economic | £1,2346 | NA | NA | No sensitivity analyses | | | |
| colleagues | limitations4 | applicable ⁵ | evaluation (cost analysis) because | | | | conducted | | | |
| (2008) | | | authors did not attempt to combine | | | | | | | |
| UK | | | total costs and outcomes using ICERs | | | | | | | |

| Integrated assertive community treatment versus non-integrated community treatment and standard care | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Study and | Limitations | Applicability | Other comments | Incremental | Incremental | ICER | Uncertainty | | |
| country | | , | | cost (£) | effect | (£/QALY) | | | |
| · | | | | . , | (QALYs) | , | | | |
| Morse and | Minor | Partially | Partial economic evaluation – simple | NA | NA | NA | No sensitivity analyses | | |
| colleagues | limitations ⁷ | applicable ⁸ | cost analyses. Not possible to | | | | conducted | | |
| (2006) | | | calculate incremental costs and | | | | | | |
| ÙS | | | effects based on data presented by | | | | | | |
| | | | authors | | | | | | |

⁴ Array of effectiveness measures used in study – not formally combined with cost data to calculate ICERs; no sensitivity analyses.

⁵ Cost analysis included criminal justice sector costs; array of effectiveness measures used in study (psychiatric symptoms, drug and alcohol consumption, quality of life and social functioning).

⁶ Inflated from 2003/04 to 2008/09 prices using hospital and community health services indices (Curtis, 2009).

⁷ Based on single US study; no incremental analysis – no synthesis of total cost differences with outcomes.

⁸ Societal perspective for cost analysis (social security and transfer payments).

| Modified | Modified therapeutic community versus treatment as usual | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Study and country | Limitations | Applicability | Other comments | Incremental cost (£) | Incremental effect (QALYs) | ICER (£/QALY) | Uncertainty | | | |
| French and colleagues (1999) US | Potentially serious limitations ⁹ | Partially applicable ¹⁰ | No single summary outcome measure was used by authors; economic evaluation was therefore a cost-consequence analysis. No incremental analysis was performed by authors and it was not possible to calculate ICERs based on the data presented. Costs and outcomes were measured over different time horizons | -£411 ¹¹ | NA | NA | No sensitivity analysis was performed | | | |

Appendix 17

⁹ Little information provided by authors regarding patient characteristics; no formal synthesis of costs and outcomes; no sensitivity analyses.

¹⁰ Based on single US cohort study – limited generalisability; array of effectiveness measures used (substance use, HIV-risk behaviour, psychological symptoms) rather than a single outcome measure.

¹¹ Converted from 1994/95 US dollars using a purchasing power parity (www.oecd.org/std/ppp) exchange rate of 0.637, then inflated to 2008/09 prices using hospital and community health services indices (Curtis, 2009).

1.2 Psychological and psychosocial interventions

| Cognitive | Cognitive behavioural therapy and motivational interviewing versus routine care | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Study and country | Limitations | Applicability | Other comments | Incremental cost (£) | Incremental effect (QALYs) | ICER (£/QALY) | Uncertainty | | | |
| Haddock and colleagues (2003) UK | Minor limitations ¹² | Partially applicable ¹³ | Authors did not present incremental effects or ICER but calculated probability of intervention being less costly than routine care (willingness to pay of £0) as 69.3% | -£1,876 ¹⁴ | NA | NA | One-way sensitivity analyses around assumptions about costs: discount rate, excluding costs of family support visits. | | | |

| 12-step rec | 12-step recovery model versus behavioural skills training model | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Study and | Limitations | Applicability | Other comments | Incremental | Incremental | ICER | Uncertainty | | | |
| country | | | | cost (£) | effect | (£/QALY) | | | | |
| | | | | | (QALYs) | | | | | |
| Jerrell and | Potentially | Partially | As no differences were detected in | £7,683 ¹⁷ | NA | NA | No sensitivity analysis | | | |
| colleagues | serious | applicable ¹⁶ | clinical outcomes after 18 months, | | | | was performed | | | |
| (1997) | limitations | | study was a cost-minimisation | | | | | | | |
| US | 15 | | analysis | | | | | | | |

Appendix 17

¹² ICER calculated but not reported by authors; small sample size reduces statistical significance of any clinical differences between treatment groups.

¹³ Societal perspective for cost analysis (patient travel, productivity losses); measure of effectiveness was the GAF scale, which limits generalisability.

¹⁴ Inflated from 1998/99 pounds sterling to 2008/09 values using hospital and community health services indices (Curtis, 2009).

¹⁵ Single US study - limited generalisability to UK setting; insufficient description of resource use and cost estimates; non-randomised study design (limited internal validity)

¹⁶ US health service perspective; array of effectiveness measures used in study including psychological functioning, psychiatric and substance-abuse symptoms rather than single measures, for example QALYs; no sensitivity analyses performed.

¹⁷ Converted from 1996/97 US dollars using a purchasing power parity (www.oecd.org/std/ppp) exchange rate of 0.635, then inflated to 2008/09 prices using hospital and community health services indices (Curtis, 2009).

| 12-step rec | 12-step recovery model versus case management | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|----------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Study and country | Limitations | Applicability | Other comments | Incremental cost (£) | Incremental effect (QALYs) | ICER (£/QALY) | Uncertainty | | | |
| Jerrell and colleagues (1997) US | Potentially serious limitations (see above) | Partially applicable (see above) | See above | £4,459 (see above) | NA | NA | No sensitivity analysis was performed | | | |

| Case mana | Case management versus behavioural skills training model | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|---------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|----------|-------------------------|--|--|--|
| Study and | Limitations | Applicability | Other comments | Incremental | Incremental | ICER | Uncertainty | | | |
| country | | | | cost (£) | effect | (£/QALY) | | | | |
| - | | | | | (QALYs) | | | | | |
| Jerrell and | Potentially | Partially | See above | £3,225 (see | NA | NA | No sensitivity analysis | | | |
| colleagues | serious | applicable | | above) | | | was performed | | | |
| (1997) | limitations | (see above) | | | | | | | | |
| US | (see above) | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix 17 5