NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

Single Technology Appraisal (STA)

Dinutuximab for treating high-risk neuroblastoma [ID799]

Final matrix of consultees and commentators

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or |
|--|---|
| | appeal) |
| Company | General |
| United Therapeutics (dinutuximab) | Allied Health Professionals Federation |
| Patient/carer groups | Board of Community Health Councils in Wales |
| Action for Sick Children | British National Formulary |
| Afiya Trust | Care Quality Commission |
| Black Health Agency | Department of Health, Social Services and |
| Cancer 52 | Public Safety for Northern Ireland |
| Cancer Black Care | Healthcare Improvement Scotland |
| Cancer Equality | Medicines and Healthcare Products |
| Childhood Cancer Patients Alliance | Regulatory Agency |
| Children's Cancer and Leukaemia | National Association of Primary Care |
| Group | National Pharmacy Association |
| Children with Cancer | NHS Alliance |
| CLIC Sargent Cantast a Familia | NHS Commercial Medicines Unit |
| Contact a Family Family | NHS Confederation Section Medicines Consertium |
| Equalities National CouncilHAWC | Scottish Medicines Consortium |
| Helen Rollason Cancer Charity | Comparator companies |
| Independent Cancer Patient Voice | Alliance Pharma (isotretinoin) |
| Macmillan Cancer Support | Roche (isotretinoin) |
| Maggie's Centres | (************************************** |
| Marie Curie Cancer Care | Relevant research groups |
| Muslim Council of Britain | Cochrane Childhood Cancer Group |
| Muslim Health Network | Institute of Cancer Research |
| National Children's Bureau | MRC Clinical Trials Unit |
| Neuroblastoma Chidren's Cancer | National Cancer Research Institute |
| Alliance (NCCA UK) | National Cancer Research Network |
| Neuroblastoma Society | National Institute for Health Research |
| Rarer Cancers Foundation | Evidence Review Group |
| Rare Disease UK South Asian Houlth Foundation | Kleijnen Systematic Reviews |
| South Asian Health Foundation Specialized Healthcare Alliance | National Institute for Health Research Health |
| Specialised Healthcare AllianceTeenage Cancer Trust | Technology Assessment |
| Teenage Cancer Trust Tenovus | |
| TenovusTogether for Short Lives | Associated Guideline Groups |
| | National Collaborating Centre for Cancer |
| Professional groups | Associated Dublic Hoolth Crowns |
| Association of Cancer Physicians | Associated Public Health Groups |
| British Institute of Radiology | Public Health Moles Public Health Woles |
| British Paediatric Neurology | Public Health Wales |

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

Final matrix for the technology appraisal of dinuntuximab for treating high-risk neuroblastoma Issue date: May 2015

| Consultees | Commentators (no right to submit or appeal) |
|---|---|
| Association (BPNA) British Psychosocial Oncology Society Cancer Research UK Royal College of General Practitioners Royal College of Nursing Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health Royal College of Pathologists Royal College of Physicians Royal College of Radiologists Royal College of Radiologists Royal Pharmaceutical Society Royal Society of Medicine Society and College of Radiographers UK Clinical Pharmacy Association UK Health Forum UK Oncology Nursing Society | |
| Others Department of Health NHS England NHS Wirral CCG NHS Redditch and Bromsgrove CCG Welsh Government | |

NICE is committed to promoting equality, eliminating unlawful discrimination and fostering good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and those who do not. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists in the matrix, and which organisations we should include that have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the company that manufactures the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

The company that manufactures the technology is invited to make an evidence submission, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists and has the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

All non-company consultees are invited to submit a statement¹, respond to consultations, nominate clinical specialists or patient experts and have the right to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare an evidence submission or statement, are able to respond to consultations and they receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies;

Healthcare Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Alliance and NHS Commercial Medicines Unit, and the *British National Formulary*.

All non-company commentators are invited to nominate clinical specialists or patient experts.

Evidence Review Group (ERG)

An independent academic group commissioned by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment Programme (HTA Programme) to assist the Appraisal Committee in reviewing the company evidence submission to the Institute.

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence Final matrix for the technology appraisal of dinuntuximab for treating high-risk neuroblastoma Issue date: May 2015

¹Non-company consultees are invited to submit statements relevant to the group they are representing.