# NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CARE EXCELLENCE

#### INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES PROGRAMME

# **Equality impact assessment**

# IPG710 Percutaneous endovascular forearm arteriovenous fistula creation for haemodialysis access

The impact on equality has been assessed during guidance development according to the principles of the NICE Equality scheme.

## **Briefing**

1. Have any potential equality issues been identified during the briefing process (development of the brief or discussion at the committee meeting), and, if so, what are they?

**Gender:** A large UK primary care study suggests the prevalence of CKD stage 3–5 is 8.5% in adults (10.6% in females and 5.8% in males).

**Age:** The risk of developing chronic kidney disease (CKD) increases with age and a high proportion of affected people recieve dialysis.

**Disability:** People with CKD are likely to be covered under disability legislation in the Equality Act 2010 if their condition has lasted more than 12 months or is likely to do so and has a substantial adverse impact on day-to-day activities.

**Ethnicity:** African, African-Caribbean or Asian family origin people are at higher risk of developing CKD.

**Socioeconomic status:** Low socioeconomic status is considered as a risk factor for the development of CKD.

No data available from standard IP sources on sexual orientation and gender reassignment.

2. What is the preliminary view as to what extent these potential equality issues need addressing by the committee? (If there are exclusions listed in the brief (for example, populations, treatments or settings), are these justified?)

This was not thought to have an impact on the assessment of the procedure. No exclusions were applied.

3. Has any change to the brief (such as additional issues raised during the committee meeting) been agreed to highlight potential equality issues?

No

4. Have any additional stakeholders related to potential equality issues been identified during the committee meeting, and, if so, have changes to the stakeholder list been made?'

No

#### **Kevin Harris**

# **Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor**

Date: 31/08/2021

#### Consultation

1. Have the potential equality issues identified during the briefing process been addressed by the committee, and, if so, how?

No specific data relating to [potential issues mentioned earlier was identified in the literature presented in the overview.

2. Have any other potential equality issues been raised in the overview, specialist adviser questionnaires or patient commentary, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?

No

4. Do the preliminary recommendations make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?  No  5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?  Not applicable  6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?  Not applicable  7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	3.	Have any other potential equality issues been identified by the committee, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?
practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?  No  S. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?  Not applicable  6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?  Not applicable  7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	No	
practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?  No  S. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?  Not applicable  6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?  Not applicable  7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?		
5. Is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?  Not applicable  6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?  Not applicable  7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	4.	practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or
adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?  Not applicable  6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?  Not applicable  7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	No	
adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?  Not applicable  6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?  Not applicable  7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?		
6. Are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?  Not applicable  7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	5.	adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something
could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?  Not applicable  7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	Not applicable	
could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligation to promote equality?  Not applicable  7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?		
7. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	6.	could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 4 or 5, or otherwise fulfil NICE's
described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?	Not applicable	
described in the consultation document, and, if so, where?		
No	7.	·
	No	

#### **Kevin Harris**

#### **Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor**

**Date:** 31/08/2021

## Final interventional procedures document

1.	Have any additional potential equality issues been raised during the consultation, and, if so, how has the committee addressed these?
No	

2. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations that make it more difficult in practice for a specific group to access a technology or intervention compared with other groups? If so, what are the barriers to, or difficulties with, access for the specific group?

Not applicable

3. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, is there potential for the preliminary recommendations to have an adverse impact on people with disabilities because of something that is a consequence of the disability?

Not applicable

4. If the recommendations have changed after consultation, are there any recommendations or explanations that the committee could make to remove or alleviate barriers to, or difficulties with, access identified in questions 2 and 3, or otherwise fulfil NICE's obligations to promote equality?

Not applicable

5. Have the committee's considerations of equality issues been described in the final interventional procedures document, and, if so, where?

No

#### **Kevin Harris**

**Approved by Programme Director and Clinical Advisor** 

Date: 31/08/2021