

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR HEALTH AND CLINICAL EXCELLENCE

Health Technology Appraisal

Human Growth Hormone (HGH) for the treatment of growth failure in children
(review of existing guidance No. 42)

Provisional matrix of consultees and commentators

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Manufacturers/sponsors</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eli Lilly & Co Ltd (somatropin) • Ferring Pharmaceuticals (UK) (somatropin) • Ipsen Ltd (somatropin) • MerckSerono (somatropin) • Novo Nordisk Ltd (somatropin) • Pfizer Ltd (somatropin) • Sandoz Limited (somatropin) <p><u>Patient/carer groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Action for Sick Children • Afiya Trust • Black Health Agency • British Ethnic Health Awareness Foundation (BEHAF) • Child Growth Foundation • Chinese National Healthy Living Centre • Confederation of Indian Organisations • Equalities National Council • Kidney Alliance • Mencap • Muslim Council of Great Britain • Muslim Health Network • National Kidney Federation • Pituitary Foundation • Prader-Willi Syndrome Association (UK) • Restricted Growth Association • South Asian Health Foundation • Specialised Healthcare Alliance • Turner Syndrome Support Society 	<p><u>General</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Board of Community Health Councils in Wales • British National Formulary • Department of Health, Social Services and Public Safety for Northern Ireland • Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) • National Public Health Service for Wales • NHS Alliance • NHS Confederation • NHS Purchasing and Supply Agency • NHS Quality Improvement Scotland • Scottish Medicines Consortium • Welsh Kidney Patients Association <p><u>Comparator manufacturers</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None <p><u>Relevant research groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Central Manchester & Manchester Children's University Hospitals • Cochrane Metabolic and Endocrine Disorders Group • UCL, Institute of Child Health <p><u>Assessment team</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Southampton Health Technology Assessment Centre (SHTAC), University of Southampton • National Coordinating Centre for Health Technology Assessment

Consultees	Commentators (no right to submit or appeal)
<p><u>Professional groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • British Society For Paediatric Endocrinology and Diabetes • Community Practitioners & Health Visitors Association • Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes & Metabolic Medicine • National Association of Primary Care • Royal College of General Practitioners • Royal College of Nursing • Royal College of Paediatrics & Child Health • Royal College of Pathologists • Royal College of Physicians • Royal Pharmaceutical Society • Royal Society of Medicine – Intellectual Disabilities Forum • Society for Endocrinology • United Kingdom Clinical Pharmacy Association <p><u>Others</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Birkenhead and Wallasey PCT • Cardiff LHB • Department of Health • Welsh Assembly Government 	<p><u>Associated Guideline Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Collaborating Centre for Women’s and Children’s Health <p><u>Associated Public Health Groups</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • None

NICE is committed to promoting equality and eliminating unlawful discrimination. Please let us know if we have missed any important organisations from the lists contained within the matrix and which organisations we should include who have a particular focus on relevant equality issues.

PTO FOR DEFINITIONS OF CONSULTEES AND COMMENTATORS

Definitions:

Consultees

Organisations that accept an invitation to participate in the appraisal; the manufacturer(s) or sponsor(s) of the technology; national professional organisations; national patient organisations; the Department of Health and the Welsh Assembly Government and relevant NHS organisations in England.

Consultees can participate in the consultation on the draft scope, the Assessment Report and the Appraisal Consultation Document, they are invited to prepare a submission dossier and all non-manufacturers/sponsors consultee organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee. All consultees are given the opportunity to appeal against the Final Appraisal Determination (FAD).

Commentators

Organisations that engage in the appraisal process but that are not asked to prepare a submission dossier, and that receive the FAD for information only, without right of appeal. These organisations are: manufacturers of comparator technologies; NHS Quality Improvement Scotland; the relevant National Collaborating Centre (a group commissioned by the Institute to develop clinical guidelines); other related research groups where appropriate (for example, the Medical Research Council [MRC], National Cancer Research Institute); other groups (for example, the NHS Confederation, NHS Information Authority and NHS Purchasing and Supplies Agency, and the *British National Formulary*).

All non-manufacturers/sponsors commentator organisations can nominate clinical specialists and patient experts to present their personal views to the Appraisal Committee.

Assessment team

An independent academic group (commissioned by the NHS Research and Development Health Technology Assessment Programme [HTA Programme] to assist in the appraisal) prepares an Assessment Report on the health technology (a review of the clinical and cost effectiveness of the technology(ies) based on a systematic review of the literature and a review of manufacturer and sponsor submission to the Institute).